

U.S. Department of Transportation

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

DOT HS 809 540

**Technical Report** 



March 2003

# DRIVER ATTRIBUTES AND REAR-END CRASH INVOLVEMENT PROPENSITY



This document is available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, VA 22161

This publication is distributed by the U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, in the interest of information exchange. The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the Department of Transportation or the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. If trade or manufacturers' names are mentioned, it is only because they are considered essential to the object of the publication and should not be construed as an endorsement. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers.

				ort Documentation Pag
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession N	lo.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
DOT HS 809 540				
1. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
			March 2003	
Driver Attributes and Rear-en	d Crash Involver	nent Propensity	6. Performing Organization NPO-121	n Code
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization	n Report No.
Santokh Singh, Ph.D.				
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Rainbow Technology Inc.			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
17106 Thatcher Court			11. Contract or Grant No.	
Olney, MD 20832				
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		A 1 .	13. Type of Report and Pe	
Mathematical Analysis Division, National ( National Highway Traffic Safety Administr		Analysis	NHTSA Technica	al Report
U.S. Department of Transportation	ution			
NRD-31, 400 Seventh Street, S.W.			14. Sponsoring Agency Co	ode
Washington, D.C. 20590				
15. Supplementary Notes				(D):
Discussions with Mr. Dennis Utter (Ma and Simulation Division, NHTSA) wer				
Ramsey (NHTSA) for proofreading thi		comments made by th	eni. Autior wishes t	to mark wis. Emm
16. Abstract	s teenineur report.			
	atles accurring termore	foreshed It is become	ina inaraasinaly ann	arout that in order to
Rear-end crashes are one of the frequent develop effective rear-end crash counter				
develop effective real-end clash count	SITUEASULES. IL IS ITUDO			по репоннансе от а
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The	study compiled in th	is report is one of th	e attempts in this c	direction. The drive
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered	study compiled in th as two of several fac	is report is one of the tors that might be con	tributing to crash in	direction. The drive volvement of driver
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th	study compiled in th as two of several fac nat a driver would ass	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando	direction. The drive volvement of driver of nature of rear-end
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason	study compiled in th as two of several fac nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra be would be involved in	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis	direction. The driver volvement of driver m nature of rear-end tical treatment of the
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein.	study compiled in th as two of several fac nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra be would be involved in	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis	direction. The driver volvement of drivers m nature of rear-end tical treatment of the
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein.	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawi	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra o would be involved in ing conclusions regard	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence	direction. The drive volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawi	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra be would be involved in ing conclusions regard he Fatality Analysis	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I	direction. The drive volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawi System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra be would be involved in ing conclusions regard he Fatality Analysis were used for the stat	he attempts in this of tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando in such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that	direction. The driver volvement of driver om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the result
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawing System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based o	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis is vere used for the stat n driver's age and sex	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that c gave a fairly good	direction. The drivers volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawing System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based of by providing direction	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis is vere used for the state n driver's age and sec- tions for further anal	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic,	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvemen
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v distributions based o by providing directia arch, was used to con	is report is one of the tors that might be com- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard he Fatality Analysis vere used for the state n driver's age and ser- ions for further anal- pare the crash involv	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that c gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of	direction. The driver volvement of driver of nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawing System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based o by providing directian arch, was used to con- lentification of the	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis vere used for the state n driver's age and sex- tions for further anal pare the crash involv age group that is li	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu	direction. The driver volvement of drivers of nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v distributions based o by providing directia arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency a well as between drive	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- o would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis vere used for the stat n driver's age and ser- tions for further anal pare the crash involv age group that is li- inalysis was used to ever's sex and his/her r	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu stablish an associat ole in a rear-end cra	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the result idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistica
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v distributions based o by providing directia arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency a well as between driver a	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- o would be involved in ing conclusions regard he Fatality Analysis vere used for the stat n driver's age and sea ions for further anal pare the crash involv age group that is li- inalysis was used to ever's sex and his/her r nd the type of rear-en-	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stru	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the result idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/she
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based o by providing direction arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency as well as between driven a was statistically infer	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis is vere used for the state n driver's age and ser- ions for further anal- npare the crash involv age group that is li- inalysis was used to e- ver's sex and his/her r nd the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro-	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando n such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stru- ng association betwo	direction. The driver volvement of driver, om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the result idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver? sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/sho een sex of the young
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it driver and his/her role in a rear-end	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v distributions based o by providing directi- arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency a swell as between driven a was statistically infer crash. The joint, ma	is report is one of the tors that might be com- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard were used for the state n driver's age and ser- ions for further anal- npare the crash involv age group that is li- ionalysis was used to e- ver's sex and his/her r and the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- arginal, and condition	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando on such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stri- ng association betw- al probability distri	direction. The driver volvement of driver om nature of rear-en- tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the result idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver' sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/sh- een sex of the youn ibutions brought ou
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it driver and his/her role in a rear-end differences in the tendencies of driver	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v distributions based o by providing directi- arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency a well as between driven a well as between driven a well as between driven a was statistically infer- crash. The joint, ma- rs in assuming strikin	is report is one of the tors that might be com- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard he Fatality Analysis is vere used for the stat n driver's age and sec- tions for further anal- npare the crash involv age group that is li- inalysis was used to e- ver's sex and his/her r ind the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- ing or struck role in r	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando on such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that c gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stri- ng association betw. al probability distri- ear-end crashes The	direction. The driver volvement of driver om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the result idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver' sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/she een sex of the young ibutions brought ou e conclusions of thi
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it driver and his/her role in a rear-end differences in the tendencies of driver study can provide useful guidelines	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v distributions based o by providing directi- arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency a well as between driven a well as between driven a well as between driven a was statistically infer- crash. The joint, ma- rs in assuming strikin	is report is one of the tors that might be com- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard he Fatality Analysis is vere used for the stat n driver's age and sec- tions for further anal- npare the crash involv age group that is li- inalysis was used to e- ver's sex and his/her r ind the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- ing or struck role in r	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando on such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that c gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stri- ng association betw. al probability distri- ear-end crashes The	direction. The driver volvement of driver, om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the result idea about the crash Crash Involvemen drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/sho een sex of the young ibutions brought ou e conclusions of this
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it driver and his/her role in a rear-end differences in the tendencies of driver study can provide useful guidelines countermeasures.	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), v distributions based o by providing directi- arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency a well as between driven a well as between driven a well as between driven a was statistically infer- crash. The joint, ma- rs in assuming strikin	is report is one of the tors that might be com- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis is vere used for the stat n driver's age and sex- tions for further anal- npare the crash involv age group that is li- malysis was used to ever's sex and his/her r and the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- treginal, and condition- ng or struck role in r collection and further	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando in such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that is gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vue establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra id crash (striking/stri- ing association betwo ial probability distri- ear-end crashes The er studies aimed a	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvement drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/she een sex of the young ibutions brought out
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled in	study compiled in th as two of several fact that a driver would ass sex of the driver who hable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based o by providing directian arch, was used to com- lentification of the es, the contingency as well as between driver a was statistically infer crash. The joint, ma- rs in assuming striking s for future data com-	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis is vere used for the state n driver's age and sea ions for further anal spare the crash involv age group that is li- inalysis was used to ever's sex and his/her r nd the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- trginal, and condition age or struck role in r collection and further 18. Distribution Statemen	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando in such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stru- ng association betwo al probability distri- ear-end crashes The er studies aimed a	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvement drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/she een sex of the young ibutions brought out e conclusions of this at developing crash
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it driver and his/her role in a rear-end differences in the tendencies of driver study can provide useful guidelines countermeasures. 17. Key Words age, association, crash involvement propensi	study compiled in th as two of several fact that a driver would ass sex of the driver who hable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based o by providing directian arch, was used to com- lentification of the es, the contingency as well as between driver a was statistically infer crash. The joint, ma- rs in assuming striking s for future data com-	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis is vere used for the state n driver's age and sea ions for further anal pare the crash involv age group that is li- inalysis was used to ever's sex and his/her r nd the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- trginal, and condition age or struck role in r collection and further 18. Distribution Statemer Document is available Information Service, S	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando in such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stru- ng association betwo al probability distri- ear-end crashes The er studies aimed a	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvement drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/she een sex of the young ibutions brought out e conclusions of this at developing crash
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it driver and his/her role in a rear-end differences in the tendencies of driver study can provide useful guidelines countermeasures. 17. Key Words age, association, crash involvement propens rear-end, sex, striking, struck	study compiled in th as two of several fact that a driver would ass sex of the driver who hable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based o by providing directian arch, was used to com- lentification of the es, the contingency as well as between driver a was statistically infer crash. The joint, ma- rs in assuming striking s for future data com-	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard were used for the state n driver's age and ser- ions for further anal- npare the crash involv- age group that is li- imalysis was used to e- ver's sex and his/her r and the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- arginal, and condition age or struck role in r collection and further 18. Distribution Statemer Document is available Information Service, Sj http://:www.ntis.gov	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando in such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that a gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra d crash (striking/stru- ng association betwo al probability distri- ear-end crashes The er studies aimed a	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvement drivers belonging to ilnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistica uck) in which he/she een sex of the young ibutions brought out e conclusions of this at developing crash
driver prior to a rear-end crash. The attributes age and sex were considered and possibly associated with the role th crashes and unpredictability of age and data was considered as the most reason the involvement of drivers therein. Two databases, the General Estimates the National Highway Traffic Safety presented in this study. The frequency involvement profile of drivers, there Propensity Index, proposed in this rese different age groups. This enabled ic Narrowing the study to rear-end crash age and his/her role (striking/struck) as evidence of a strong association betwe is involved was provided. Similarly, it driver and his/her role in a rear-end differences in the tendencies of driver study can provide useful guidelines countermeasures. 17. Key Words	study compiled in th as two of several fac- nat a driver would ass sex of the driver who nable means of drawin System (GES) and t Agency (NHTSA), w distributions based o by providing direction arch, was used to con- lentification of the es, the contingency as well as between driven a well as between driven a was statistically infer crash. The joint, ma rs in assuming striking for future data co- sity,	is report is one of the tors that might be con- ume in a rear-end cra- be would be involved in ing conclusions regard the Fatality Analysis were used for the state n driver's age and ser- ions for further anal- npare the crash involv age group that is li- imalysis was used to e- ver's sex and his/her r and the type of rear-en- red that there is a stro- arginal, and condition age or struck role in r collection and further 18. Distribution Statemer Document is available Information Service, S- http://:www.ntis.gov	tributing to crash in sh. Due to the rando in such a crash, statis ding the occurrence Reporting System (I istical analysis that is gave a fairly good ysis. The statistic, ement propensity of kely to be most vu establish an associat ole in a rear-end cra id crash (striking/stru- ing association betwo al probability distri- ear-end crashes The er studies aimed a nt to the public through pringfield, VA 22161	direction. The driver volvement of drivers om nature of rear-end tical treatment of the of these crashes and FARS), compiled by supports the results idea about the crash Crash Involvement drivers belonging to alnerable to crashes ion between driver's sh. In fact, statistical uck) in which he/she een sex of the young ibutions brought out e conclusions of this at developing crash

# Table of contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
1. Introduction.	1
2. Driver attributes and crash involvement	2
2.1. Driver's age and crash involvement.	2
2.2. Driver's sex and crash involvement	4
2.3. Crash involvement propensity of drivers	6
3. Association between driver attributes and his/her role in a rear-end crash	9
4. Driver attributes and likelihood of his/her role in a rear-end crash	10
4.1. Driver's age and likelihood of rear-end crash involvement	11
4.2. Sex of young driver and likelihood of rear-end crash involvement	13
5. Conclusions and recommendations.	15
6. Appendix A. Tables.	17
7. Appendix B. Analytical details of Crash Involvement Propensity Index	19
8. References.	21

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## **Background and objectives**

Rear-end crashes are not only one of the frequently occurring types of crashes, but also are responsible for a large number of injuries and fatalities and substantial property damage every year. In order to develop effective rear-end crash countermeasures, a better understanding of the driving behavior and performance associated with the response to driving conflicts or imminent crash situations is needed. However, it is clear that the involvement of a driver in a crash depends on his/her perception of the complex scenario that emerges prior to a crash and that resolution of the driving conflicts, that have occurred, depends on driver's pre-crash behavior and performance. These facts suggest that the driver attributes, such as age and sex, could possibly be two of several factors that contribute to a driver's involvement in a crash, in general, and in determining the role (striking/struck) he/she assumes in a rear-end crash, in particular. The objective of this study is to investigate if there is a crash involvement profile of drivers of different age groups and of young drivers based on driver's sex. Narrowing the study to rear-end crashes, the study aims at providing statistical evidence of the association between the driver attributes and his/her role in a rear-end crash.

## Data and methodology

Two databases, the General Estimates System (GES) and the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), compiled by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), were used in the analysis. Statistical methods were used to arrive at the conclusions drawn in this report. Simple statistics, such as percent frequencies, were used for the purpose of preliminary analysis. The statistic Crash Involvement Propensity Index, proposed in this study, proved to be a useful tool for comparison between different age groups with respect to their propensity of being involved in a crash. In addition, the contingency analysis was used to test hypotheses about the association between driver's attributes and driver's role in a rear-end crash. Finally, the joint, marginal, and conditional probabilities were used to bring out the differences among categories of drivers with respect to driver's role in a rear-end crash.

### **Results and conclusions**

Based on GES and FARS data for the year 2000, it was found that drivers younger than 18 years were most vulnerable to roadway crashes, followed by 18 to 24 year old drivers. In fact, the propensity of drivers involved in crashes showed a decreasing trend with increasing age. This, however, was observed up to the age of 69, after which the drivers again showed a higher crash involvement propensity as compared to the drivers who were 25 to 69 year old. Young (18 to 24 year old) drivers were treated as a special group in this study. So far as driver's attribute sex is concerned, among this group of drivers, male drivers were found to be more prone to crash involvement as compared to their female counterparts.

Narrowing the study to rear-end crashes, it could be established that there was strong evidence of an association between age of the driver and driver's role (striking/struck) in a rear-end crash, as was of an association between sex of the young driver and driver's role. It was also found that in rear-end crashes drivers up to the age of 25 years or so are more likely to be in the striking role than in the struck role. In fact, as drivers get older, they tend to be in the striking role less often than in the struck role. Furthermore, young male drivers are more likely to be in the striking role as compared to young female drivers.

The results of this study strongly support the use of driver attributes, age and sex, as stratification variables in data collection and analysis that are directed towards the development of effective rear-end crash countermeasures.



## 1. Introduction

A rear-end crash refers to a crash in which the front of one vehicle collides with the rear of another vehicle. Thus, a driver involved in such a crash may be the driver of a striking vehicle, of the struck vehicle, or of the vehicle that both struck and was struck. Rear-end crashes are not only one of the frequently occurring types of crashes, but also are responsible for a large number of injuries and fatalities and substantial property damage every year. In fact, based on the General Estimates System (GES) of the National Automotive Sampling System (NASS) and the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) data, compiled by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), approximately 29.7% of all crashes in the year 2000 were rear-end crashes. These crashes were responsible for 30% of all injuries and 29.7% of the property damage. So far as the involvement of drivers in rear-end crashes is concerned, of the 190,625,023 licensed drivers (Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)) in 2000, approximately 2.2% were involved in rear-end crashes. Further, of the 6% of licensed drivers involved in all types of crashes, 36% were involved in rear-end crashes alone.

This significant involvement of drivers in rear-end crashes alone is a matter of great concern. It is becoming increasingly apparent that in order to develop effective rear-end crash countermeasures, a better understanding of the driving behavior and performance associated with response to driving conflict or imminent crash situations is needed. The present study is an attempt to identify some of the driver-related factors to which crash involvement and driver's role (striking/struck) in a rear-end crash can be attributed. This information can subsequently be used, for example, in stratifying the population of drivers for the purpose of designing a sample for data collection on driver related parameters in rear-end crashes.

In general, the involvement of a driver in a crash depends on his/her perception of the complex scenario that has emerged prior to a crash. Equally important are the driver's precrash behavior and performance to resolve the driving conflicts that have occurred. These facts suggest that the driver attributes, such as age and gender, could possibly be the factors, among others, that contribute to a driver's involvement in a crash, in general, and in determining his/her role in a rear-end crash, in particular. Given a rear-end crash, the questions that immediately and naturally come to one's mind are: Who struck and who was struck and what was the age/gender of the striking and the struck drivers? The answers to these questions lie in GES and FARS databases, which contain a great deal of information about crashes, including the role (striking/struck) of vehicles and hence of the drivers involved in rear-end crashes as well as about their age and gender. What needs to be done, however, from the point of view of driving behavior and performance of a driver prior to a crash, is to find out if the driver attributes, such as age and gender have any impact on his/her involvement in a crash. Pertinent to this study is to further determine if there is an association between these attributes and his/her role (striking/struck) in a rear-end crash. The tendencies of drivers of different age groups and gender, with respect to the role they are likely to assume in rear-end crashes, will also be brought out. Complying with the variables naming convention of GES and FARS databases, the driver attributes age and gender will henceforth be referred to as age and sex of the driver.

In order to seek directions for investigating into the possible relationship between driver attributes and rear-end crash involvement, this study first conducts a preliminary analysis to look at the crash involvement profile of drivers belonging to different groups based on driver's age and sex. Some studies in this direction have been done in the past (e.g., [1], [2], [3]). An important point that needs to be borne in mind, in this regard, is that the crash involvement rates for such groups with reference to the entire population of licensed drivers do not give a true picture. The smallest number of drivers of a category involved in crashes may not mean that this category of drivers is least prone to crash involvement, as this may merely be due to the smallest size of the category in question. The idea is to see which groups contribute disproportionately to crash involvement and hence the rates should have reference to the respective groups rather than to the entire population. Based on this fact, a frequency approach is used for developing the methodology used in this study.

The statistical analysis conducted and the resulting conclusions drawn in this study are based on the information/data retrieved from the following sources:

- 1. Age/sex distribution of licensed drivers for 2000, reported by the FHWA
- 2. Drivers involved in all crashes and those involved in rear-end crashes in 2000, reported in GES (excluding fatal crashes that have been considered through FARS)
- 3. Drivers involved in fatal crashes in 2000, reported in FARS.

## 2. Driver attributes and crash involvement

As a first step, a preliminary analysis of GES and FARS data for the year 2000 was conducted to obtain an idea of the incidence of crashes and the role that the driver attributes age and sex might have played in driver's involvement in a crash. In the following analysis, several subpopulations will be defined based on certain criteria so as to look at the problem of crash involvement from various angles.

## 2.1. Driver's age and crash involvement

Based on a pilot study conducted for the development of rear-end crash countermeasures, the population of drivers was partitioned into five age groups: drivers under 18 (Age group 1), 18 to 24 (Age group 2), 25 to 49 (Age group 3), 50 to 69 (Age group 4), and 70 and older (Age group 5), to be also referred to as  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ , and  $A_5$ . The frequencies of crash-involved drivers from each age group (category) were calculated using GES and FARS databases. The results, including the frequencies of licensed drivers falling in each category, are summarized in Table A.1 (Appendix A) and are presented in the Venn diagram (Figure 1). This figure represents the population of Licensed Drivers: D (universal set) as a union of the subpopulations  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ , and  $A_5$  (subsets of D) and their intersections with the subpopulation C of crash-involved drivers. It may be noted that the areas (with different

types of hatching) in this figure are merely representative of the population/subpopulation and not of the magnitudes of their sizes.

The statistics presented in Figure 1 show that the crash-involved drivers from age groups  $A_{1,}$   $A_{2,}$   $A_{3,}$   $A_{4,}$  and  $A_{5,}$  respectively, made up 0.4%, 1.2%, 3.1%, 0.9%, and 0.3% of the population of all licensed drivers, D. So far as their representation in the subpopulation, C, of crash-involved drivers is concerned, these age groups, respectively, contributed 6.6%, 20.7%, 51.8%, 15.7%, and 5.1% to various types of crashes.

A comparison of the statistics in Figure 1 further shows that although only 2% of the licensed drivers were in age group  $A_1$ , 19.6% of them were involved in various types of crashes. Similarly, of the 11.5% of drivers belonging to  $A_2$ , 10.8% were involved in crashes. On the other hand, of the 51.4% of drivers who were in  $A_3$ , only 6.0% were involved in various types of crashes as compared to those in  $A_4$  who made up 29.1% of the driver population with 3.2% involved in crashes. The drivers from age group  $A_5$  made up 6% of the licensed drivers, while 5.1% of them were involved in various types of crashes. It can



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000 and FARS 2000, FHWA

# Figure 1. Percent of licensed drivers and crash-involved drivers in five age groups, with reference to the population D and subpopulations A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>, A<sub>4</sub>, A<sub>5</sub>, and C.

also be seen in this figure that drivers of both Age group 1 and Age group 2 have much higher representation (6.6% and 20.7%, respectively) among the crash-involved drivers (C) as compared to their overall representation (2.0% and 11.5%, respectively) in the population of licensed drivers (D). On the other hand, drivers of Age group 4 had much lower (15.7%) contribution to C as compared to their representation (29.1%) in D, while drivers of Age group 3 have almost the same contribution (51.8%) to the subpopulation C as to the population D (51.7%). The contribution of Age group 5 drivers to C (5.1%) is slightly less than their representation in D (6.0%). The representations, in terms of percent frequencies,

of different age groups in the population D and their contribution to the subpopulation C are shown in Fig 1(a). This figure shows crash involvement profile of drivers belonging to five age groups: lower age group drivers (younger than 24) have lower representation in the population D of licensed drivers, but have higher representation in the subpopulation C of crash-involved drivers, while higher age group drivers (50 and above) have higher representation in D with lower representation in C. The drivers in Age group 3 have almost the same representation both in D and C.



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000 and FARS 2000, FHWA



### 2.2. Driver's sex and crash involvement

GES and FARS data for the year 2000 were also analyzed to investigate if sex of a driver plays a role in his/her involvement in a crash. The frequencies and other statistics of the crash-involved and licensed drivers belonging to different categories based on driver's sex are summarized in Table A.2 (Appendix A). These results are presented in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

The statistics presented in the Venn diagram (Figure 2) show that sex of the driver is possibly one of the contributing factors in driver's crash involvement. For instance, in the year 2000, although there was a marginal difference in the number of male (50.3%) and female (49.7%) drivers in the entire population of licensed drivers, the percentage of male drivers (60.2) among the crash-involved drivers was significantly higher than that of the female drivers (39.8). Figure 2 also shows that of the 6% of all licensed drivers who were involved in crashes, 3.6% were male and 2.4% female. Further, 7.1% of the male drivers were involved in all crashes as compared to 4.8% of the female drivers.

Referring back to Figure 1, it can be seen that leaving aside drivers younger than 18 (who



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES and FARS 2000, FHWA

Figure 2. Percent of drivers: male, female, and crash-involved drivers, with reference to the population D and subpopulations M, F, and C.

made up only 2% of the population of licensed drivers), 18 to 24 year old drivers (to be referred to as young drivers in the subsequent discussion) have the highest crash involvement among drivers who are 18 and older. We will now focus our attention on the group of young drivers and find out the extent to which crash involvement of these drivers can be attributed to the attribute sex. The statistics (from Table A.2, Appendix A) presented in the Venn diagram (Figure 3) answer this question to a large degree and bring some other facts to light as well.



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES and FARS 2000, FHWA

Figure 3. Percent of drivers: young (male and female) and crash-involved drivers, with reference to the population D and subpopulations Y, M, F, and C.

The statistics in Figure 3 show that the young male and female drivers, respectively, accounted for 5.9% and 5.6% of the licensed drivers. So far as the crash involvement profile of young drivers is concerned, it can be noticed in this figure that of the 20.7% of them, who were involved in crashes, 59.9% were male as compared to 40.1% female drivers. Further, 12.4% of the young male drivers were involved in crashes, accounting for 0.7% of all the licensed drivers, while 8.3% of the young female divers were crash-involved, making up 0.5% of the population of licensed drivers.

The representation of male and female drivers in the population of licensed drivers, subpopulation of young drivers, and subpopulation of crash-involved young drivers is displayed in Figure 3(a), where outer rectangles represent male drivers and inner rectangles female drivers. It can be clearly seen in this figure that the slight difference between the percentages 50.3 and 49.7 of male and female drivers, respectively, in the population



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES and FARS 2000, FHWA

# Figure 3(a). Representation of male and female drivers in the population of licensed drivers D, young drivers Y, and young crash-involved drivers.

of licensed drivers was reflected in their representations 51.3% and 48.7%, respectively, in the subpopulation of young drivers. This figure also depicts that male to female ratio in the subpopulation of young drivers (100:98.8) does not differ as much from that in the population of all licensed drivers (100:95) as it does in the subpopulation of crash-involved young drivers (100:67).

# 2.3. Crash involvement propensity of drivers

Consider a situation in which, based on a certain criterion (e.g., age), drivers are subdivided into K categories (subpopulations) and our interest is in comparing these categories with respect to their propensity of being involved in a crash. This information can, for instance, be useful in stratifying the population for data collection so that maximum information

could be acquired not only in terms of the occurrences of crashes but also in terms of certain driver-related parameters across the driver categories. In order to develop a measure of the crash involvement propensity of a driver belonging to a category as compared to other categories, it is important to consider the occurrence of crashes in this category relative to the occurrence of its drivers in the entire population of drivers. The important information that one needs in this context is an answer to the question: Given that a driver selected at random is from a certain category, what is the probability that he/she would be involved in a crash? In other words, what one needs to look for is the *likelihood* of a driver of each category being involved in a crash. These likelihoods can then be combined into the statistic  $\phi$ , called *Crash Involvement Propensity Index* (CIPI), to provide a reasonable measure of for comparing crash involvement propensities of different categories considered in a given situation. This statistic is given by (for analytical details refer to Appendix B)

$$\phi_{i} = \frac{\frac{C_{i}}{S_{i}^{2}}}{\sum_{j=l}^{K} \left(\frac{C_{j}}{S_{j}^{2}}\right)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K$$
(1)

where

- $C_i$  is the number of crash-involved drivers belonging to the *i*th category  $(A_i)$ ,
- $S_i$  is the number of drivers in the *i*th category, i.e., the size of the *i*th category  $A_i$ ,  $S_i > 0$ ,  $S_1 + S_2 + \ldots + S_K = N$  (size of the population of licensed drivers),
- K is the number of mutually exclusive categories that are exhaustive of the entire population of licensed drivers.

Note that the numerator in equation (1) takes into account the likelihood or conditional probability (conditional on category) of a driver belonging to the *i*th category being involved in a crash, while the denominator is the normalizing quantity. As the name suggests, CIPI (equation (1)) can be used to assess the propensity of drivers belonging to a certain category being involved in crashes, relative to that of the drivers of other categories.

The statistic CIPI was used to compare the five age groups (defined in Section 2.1) of the population of licensed drivers for the year 2000 (FHWA) for their crash involvement propensity. Based on GES and FARS data,  $\phi$  in equation (1) was calculated for each of the five age groups as shown in Table 1. The results presented in this table show that Age group 1 has the highest crash involvement propensity ( $\phi_1 = 0.8299$ ), indicating that among all licensed drivers, drivers under 18 are most vulnerable to roadway crashes. Age group 2 has the next highest crash involvement propensity ( $\phi_2 = 0.0794$ ), though this is far lower than that of Age group 1. However, among drivers older than 18, the Age group 2 drivers are most prone to crash involvement. The drivers of Age group 5 are slightly less prone

 $(\phi_5 = 0.0714)$  as compared to Age group 2, while Age group 3 and Age group 4 have very low and almost the same crash involvement propensity ( $\phi_3 = 0.0099$  and  $\phi_4 = 0.0094$ , respectively).

Age group i	Subpopulation	Size of subpopulation	Number of crash-involved drivers	Crash Involvement Propensity Index
(interval in years)	$(A_i)$	(S <sub>i</sub> )	(C <sub>i</sub> )	$(\phi_i)$
Age group 1 (<18)	A <sub>1</sub>	3,827,438	751,667	0.8299
Age group 2 (18 to 24)	A <sub>2</sub>	21,881,758	2,351,971	0.0794
Age group 3 (25 to 49)	A <sub>3</sub>	98,046,947	5,861,801	0.0099
Age group 4 (50 to 69)	$A_4$	55,396,514	1,775,570	0.0094
Age group 5 (>69)	$A_5$	11,472,366	581,312	0.0714

 Table 1. Crash involvement propensity of licensed drivers belonging to five age groups

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000, FHWA.

The crash involvement propensities for the five age groups are plotted in Figure 4. This figure clearly depicts that as the drivers get older, their propensity of being involved in



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NCSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000, FHWA

Figure 4. Crash involvement propensity of drivers belonging to five age groups, as measured by CIPI.

crashes keeps decreasing (with a noticeably sharp decrease from Age group 1 to Age group 2). It can also be noticed in this figure that the crash involvement propensity increases again when the drivers get older (70 and above). In fact, it reaches almost the same level as of the young (18 to 24) drivers.

# 3. Association between driver attributes and his/her role in a rear-end crash

The statistics presented thus far provide a clear idea about the variation that exists in the crash involvement propensity of drivers belonging to different age and sex groups. We now focus our attention on rear-end crashes and seek statistical justification to the possible association between age/sex of the driver and his/her role (striking/struck) in a rear-end crash. As a first step, based on the preliminary analysis conducted in the previous sections, the population of drivers was classified with respect to three factors: *Age* of the driver, *Sex* of the young driver, and *Role* of the driver in a rear-end crash.

Specifically, the following modes of classification were used in the subsequent analysis.

- Classification of drivers based on *Age* of the driver:
  - Age group 1 (younger than 18)
  - Age group 2 (18 to 24)
  - Age group 3 (25 to 49)
  - Age group 4 (50 to 69)
  - Age group 5 (70 and older)
- Classification of drivers based on *Sex* of the young driver:
  - Young male (18 to 24 male drivers )
  - Young female (18 to 24 female drivers)
- Classification of drivers based on driver's *Role* in a rear-end crash:
  - Rear-end striking (drivers involved as striking drivers in rear-end crashes)
  - Rear-end struck (drivers involved as struck drivers in rear-end crashes)

The contingency analysis [4] was carried out to test the hypothesis:

H<sub>1</sub>: There is no association between *Age* of the driver and his/her *Role* in a rear-end crash.

Contingency analysis is one of the useful statistical techniques for testing independence between two or more factors and can be performed on the data that may be meaningfully classified in a contingency table, such as Table A.3 (Appendix A).

Since GES data are based on three-stage sampling, the statistical software SUDAAN 8.01 was used for carrying out the contingency analysis, which takes into account the underlying sampling design. The contingency analysis of GES data for the year 2000 was carried out to test the hypothesis H<sub>1</sub>. The results are presented in the contingency table (Table A.3, Appendix A.) that yield  $\chi^2 = 169.7$  with 4 degrees of freedom. Since 95th percentile, 9.49, of  $\chi^2$  distribution with 4 degrees of freedom is far less than 169.7, there is strong evidence of the association between *Age* of the driver and his/her *Role* in a rear-end crash.

As seen earlier in Section 2.1, drivers under the age of 18 made up only 2% of the total population of licensed drivers, and among drivers older than 17, drivers of age 18 to 24 were most prone to crash involvement (Section 2.3). Based on this profile, we will now focus our attention on the age group: 18 to 24 (young drivers) and test the hypothesis:

H<sub>2</sub>: There is no association between *Sex* of the young driver and his/her *Role* as striking or struck driver.

The contingency analysis was carried out to test the hypothesis H<sub>2</sub>, using the statistical software SUDAAN 8.01 for the same reason as in testing the hypothesis H<sub>1</sub>. The results are presented in the contingency table, Table A.4, (Appendix A) which yield  $\chi^2 = 33.1$  with 1 degree of freedom. Since 95th percentile, 3.84, of  $\chi^2$  distribution with 1 degree of freedom is much less than 33.1, we would reject the hypothesis of independence between *Sex* of the young driver and his/her *Role* in a rear-end crash and conclude that there is an association between these two factors.

Thus, the above analysis justifies partitioning of the population of drivers into subpopulations based on (i) age of the driver, and (ii) sex of the driver. A finer analysis conducted in the following sections can provide more insight into the likelihood of the role that a driver of a category (based on age/sex) would assume in a rear-end crash.

# 4. Driver attributes and likelihood of his/her role in a rear-end crash

Considered as events, a rear-end crash, and age and sex of the driver involved in a crash cannot be predicted in advance and hence are random events. The phenomenon of the occurrence of rear-end crashes and the involvement of drivers, therefore, submits itself to a probabilistic treatment. For that purpose, we define discrete random variables:  $X_1$  that assumes values, depending on the driver's age;  $X_2$  that assumes values, depending on sex of the driver (with focus on young drivers); and Y that assumes values, depending on the driver's role in a rear-end crash. Specifically, these random variables were defined as:

*X*<sub>1</sub> {driver's *Age*}

- = 1, if driver's age is younger than 18,
- = 2, if driver's age is 18 to 24,
- = 3, if driver's age is 25 to 49,
- = 4, if driver's age is 50 to 69,
- = 5, if driver is older than 69.

 $X_2$  {driver's Sex}

- = 1, if young (18 to 24) driver is male,
- = 2, if young (18 to 24) driver is female,
- = 3, otherwise (if driver of either sex is under 18 or is older than 24).

Y {driver' *Role*}

- = 1, if driver is striking in the rear-end crash,
- = 2, if driver is struck in the rear-end crash,
- = 3, otherwise (i.e., if the driver is either involved in a crash other than rear-end or not at all).

Based on GES and FARS data for the year 2000, the joint, marginal, and conditional probability distributions of the random variables  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , and Y were evaluated, in order to look at the crash involvement profile of drivers categorized on the basis of age and sex

# 4.1. Driver's age and likelihood of rear-end crash involvement

Table 4 shows the conditional probability distributions: (i) of  $X_I$ , given Y, i.e., of age group, conditional on driver's role (striking/struck) in a rear-end crash (Table 4(a)), (ii) of Y given,  $X_I$ , i.e., of driver's role in a rear-end crash, conditional on driver's age group (Table 4(b)). These probability distributions provide useful information about the crash involvement profile of drivers belonging to different age groups in rear-end striking and struck crashes.

The conditional probabilities of driver's age groups, given driver's role, as shown in Table 4(a), are plotted in Figure 5. This figure also shows the probability distribution of licensed drivers over different age groups. By comparing the distributions of licensed drivers (Figure 5(a)), of the striking drivers (Figure 5(b)), and of the struck drivers (Figure 5(c)) with the normal distribution (dotted line), it can be seen that all these distributions are in close proximity to each other.

(a) Conditional probability distribution of Driver's age group, given Driver's role $P(X_1=i \ / \ Y=j)$					
Y		<b>X</b> <sub>1</sub>	(Driver's age gro	up)	
(Driver's role)	1	2	3	4	5
1	0.08168	0.229445	0.523402	0.12792	0.03755
2	0.04298	0.16352	0.56647	0.18819	0.03884
3	0.01907	0.11283	0.51360	0.29379	0.06071
(b) Co	onditional probal	·	of Driver's role, g / X <sub>1</sub> =i )	given Driver's age	group
Y		X <sub>1</sub>	(Driver's age gro	up)	
(Driver's role)	1	2	3	4	5
1	0.04786	0.02352	0.01197	0.00518	0.00734
2	0.02502	0.01666	0.01288	0.00757	0.00755
3	0.92711	0.95983	0.97515	0.98725	0.98511

Table 4. Conditional probability distributions of the discrete random variables X<sub>1</sub> and Y

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000, FHWA





# Figure 5. Probability distributions of All, Rear-end striking, and Rear-end struck drivers over Age groups.

Also, the conditional probabilities of driver's roles: striking (thick line) and struck (dotted line), given his/her age group, as shown in Table 4(b), are plotted in Figure 6. This figure shows that among five age groups, the incidence of rear-end striking crashes is most probable for drivers of Age group 1 (younger than 18). The tendency of drivers of Age group 2 (18 to 24) is no different from that of Age group 1 drivers, i.e., of being in the striking role more often than in the struck role, though comparatively Age group 2 drivers



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES2000, FARS 2000

# Figure 6. Rear-end (striking and struck) crash involvement likelihood of drivers belonging to five age groups.

tend to get involved in rear-end striking crashes less often. Additionally, Figure 6 shows that as the drivers get older, they tend to be in the striking role less often than in the struck role. It is also interesting to note in this figure that drivers of Age group 5 (70 and above) are likely to get involved in striking crashes as often as in struck crashes.

## 4.2. Sex of young driver and likelihood of rear-end crash involvement

We now focus our attention on the subpopulation of young drivers and compare the likelihood of rear-end crash involvement of male and female drivers of this subpopulation. Of special interest will be to bring out the difference between the tendencies of male and female drivers in this group, if any, in assuming the role (striking/struck) in a rear-end crash. For that purpose, the joint, marginal, and conditional probability distributions of the random variables  $X_2$  and Y were evaluated using GES and FARS data for the year 2000. The results are presented in Table 5. The joint and marginal probabilities (Table 5(a)) for young male and young female drivers are also displayed in Figure 7. The important conclusion that one can draw from this figure is that relative to the likelihood of a driver being male or female in the population of licensed drivers, the likelihood of involvement of young female drivers, while the likelihood of involvement of young male drivers in rear-end striking crashes was 1.5 times greater than that of the young female drivers, while the likelihood of involvement of young male drivers in rear-end struck crashes was only slightly greater (1.1 times) than that of young female drivers.

(a) Joint Probability Distribution: P( $\mathrm{X}_2=$ i, $\mathrm{Y}=$ j ) and Marginal distribution of $\mathrm{X}_2$					
Y (Driver's role)	X <sub>2</sub> (Driver's Age/Sex)				
	1	2	3		
1	0.00162	0.00108	0.00907		
2	0.00100	0.00091	0.00978		
3	0.05623	0.05395	0.86637		
Marginal distribution of X <sub>2</sub>	0.05885 0.05594		0.88522		
(b)	) Conditional Probability	Distribution: P( Y=j / 2	X <sub>2</sub> =i)		
Y (Driver's role)		X <sub>2</sub> (Driver's Age/Sex)			
I (Driver stole)	1	2	3		
1	0.02751	0.01932	0.01024		
2	0.01698	0.01632	0.01105		
3	0.95552	0.96437	0.97871		

Table 5. Joint and conditional distributions of the discrete randomvariables X2 and Y and marginal distribution of X2

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES and FARS 2000

Figure 7. Rear-end crash involvement of young male and young female drivers.

The conditional probabilities of driver's *Role*, conditional on driver's *Age/Sex* (from Table 5) for young male and young female drivers are plotted in Figure 8. This figure shows that young male drivers are more likely to get involved in striking crashes as compared to young female drivers, though both of them have a higher tendency to be in the role of a striking driver than that of the struck driver.



Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000

Figure 8. Comparison of young male, young female and others (male/female under 18 and above 24) with respect to rear-end crash involvement likelihood.

### 5. Conclusions and recommendations

The present study was initiated with the descriptive statistical analysis. The rates (percent frequencies) calculated with reference to the population and subpopulations of drivers indicate crash involvement profiles of drivers of different age/sex groups. The use of the statistic CIPI, proposed in this study, further enabled a comparison of the crash involvement propensity of drivers belonging to different age groups. In fact, based on propensity, the population of licensed drivers in 2000 can be classified into four categories: (i) drivers under 18 (with highest propensity), (ii) 18 to 24 year old drivers (with the next highest propensity, though much lower than the previous age group), (iii) 25 to 69 year old drivers (with the minimum propensity), and (iv) drivers 70 and above (with the same propensity as of 18 to 24 year old drivers). This information provided useful guidelines for further analysis conducted in the context of rear-end crashes.

The contingency analysis of GES and FARS data for 2000 shows that both driver's age and sex are strongly associated with driver's role (striking/struck) in a rear-end crash. Some important inferences could be made about the occurrence of rear-end crashes, on the basis of joint, marginal, and conditional probability distributions. Based on driver's age and role in a rear-end crash, these distributions indicate that drivers under 18 have the highest incidence of rear-end striking crashes. These probability distributions also show that the likelihood of involvement in rear-end striking crashes, rather than in struck, decreases with age. Similarly, based on the young driver's sex and role in rear-end striking crashes as compared to their female counterparts.

The statistics presented in this study show that the involvement of drivers in crashes, in general, and the role that a driver may assume in a rear-end crash, can be attributed to driver attributes age and sex. This, in turn, strongly supports the use of these attributes as stratification factors in data collection and analysis that are directed towards development of effective rear-end crash countermeasures.

### 6. Appendix A. Tables

Table A.1. This table shows the results presented in Figure 1 (Venn diagram), partitioning the population of licensed drivers in subpopulations, based on age of drivers.

Subpopulation		Licensed drivers D (190,625,023)		Involved in crashes C (11,322,321)			
(Age group) Ai	Frequency S <sub>i</sub>	Percent of D	Frequency	Percent of D	Percent of C	Percent of Ai	
Age group 1: A1 (younger than 18)	3,827,438	2	751,667	0.39	6.64	19.64	
Age group 2: A2 (18 to 24)	21,881,758	11.5	2,351,971	1.23	20.77	10.75	
Age group 3: A3 (25 to 49)	98,046,947	51.4	5,861,801	3.08	51.77	5.98	
Age group 4: A4 (50 to 69)	55,396,514	29.1	1,775,570	0.93	15.68	3.21	
Age group 5: A5 (70 and older)	11,472,366	6	581,312	0.30	5.13	5.07	
Total	190,625,023	100	11,322,321	5.94	100.00	5.94	

Table A.1. Distribution (in terms of frequency and percent) of drivers classified according to driver's age and the corresponding crash involvement statistics

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000, FHWA

Table A.2. This table shows the results presented in Figure 2 (Venn diagram), partitioning the population of licensed drivers in subpopulations, based on sex of drivers and sex of the young drivers.

Table A. 2.	Distribution (in terms of frequency and percent) of drivers classified according
	to driver's age/sex and the corresponding crash involvement statistics

Subpopulation	Licensed drivers D (190,625,023)					
D <sub>i</sub>	Frequency S <sub>i</sub>	Percent of D	Frequency	Percent of D	Percent of C	Percent of D <sub>i</sub>
Male: M	95,796,069	50.25	6,815,502	3.58	60.20	7.11
Female: F	94,828,953	49.75	4,506,125	2.36	39.80	4.75
Young (18 to 24) Male: $Y \bigcap M$	11,218,354	5.89	1,407,710	0.74	12.43	12.55
Young (18 to 24) Female: $Y \cap F$	10,663,404	5.59	944,261	0.50	8.34	8.86

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000, FHWA

**Table A.3.** This table shows sample size and weighted size for each category (age group) that were computed by SUDDAN while carrying out the contingency analysis for testing the association between driver's Age and driver's Role in a rear-end crash (Section 3).

Driver's age group	St. 1. 1.	Driver's	Total	
	Statistics	Rear-end striking	Rear-end struck	I OTAI
Age group 1	Sample size	1079	471	1550
(younger than 18)	Weighted size	147982	66179	214161
Age group 2	Sample size	3418	2204	5622
(18 to 24)	Weighted size	437657	292887	730544
Age group 3	Sample size	7484	8621	16105
(25 to 49)	Weighted size	896980	1053726	1950705
Age group 4	Sample size	1954	2935	4889
(50 to 69)	Weighted size	219596	357328	576924
Age group 5	Sample size	1337	937	2274
(70 and older)	Weighted size	200681	118743	319424
Total	Sample size	15272	15168	30440
	Weighted size	1902896	1888863	3791758

Table A.3. Contingency table: Driver's Age vs. Driver's Role in a rear-end crash

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000, FHWA

Table A.4. This table shows sample size and weighted size for each category (sex of young driver), that were computed by SUDDAN while carrying out the contingency analysis for testing the association between Sex of the young driver and driver's Role in a rear-end crash (Section 3).

Table A.4. Contingency table: Young driver's Sex vs. Driver's Role in a rear-end crash

	Gr. 1. 1.	Drive	T ( )	
Young driver's Sex	Statistics	Rear-end striking	Rear-end struck	Total
Young (18 to 24) Male	Sample size Weighted size	2185 271079	1198 153791	3383 424870
Young (18 to 24) Female	Sample size Weighted size	1233 166578	1006 139096	2239 305674
Total	Sample size Weighted size	3418 437657	2204 292887	5622 730544

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, GES 2000, FARS 2000, FHWA



## 7. Appendix B. Analytical details of Crash Involvement Propensity Index

#### **B.1.** Crash Involvement Propensity Index (CIPI)

This appendix supplies the analytical details of the statistic called *Crash Involvement Propensity Index* in Section 2.3.

In order to develop a measure of the crash involvement propensity of a driver belonging to a category as compared to other categories, it is important to consider the occurrence of crashes in that category relative to the occurrence of its drivers in the entire population of drivers. For this purpose, we consider the space  $\Omega$  of all drivers belonging to a category and the subspace  $\Omega_c$  of those drivers of this category who are involved in crashes.

Let

 $L(\Omega)$  be the probability that a driver selected at random belongs to the category  $\Omega$ ,

 $L(\Omega_c)$  be the probability that a driver selected at random is involved in a crash, given that he/she belongs to the category  $\Omega$ .

Let *N* be the number of drivers in the entire population of drivers that has been subdivided into *K* categories, based on a certain criterion,  $S_i$  the number of drivers belonging to category *i* ( $S_i$ >0), and  $C_i$  the number of drivers belonging to category *i* who are involved in crashes, i = 1, 2, ..., K. Then the Crash Involvement Propensity of category *i* drivers can be defined as

$$\lambda_{i} = \frac{L(\Omega_{c}^{(i)})}{L(\Omega^{(i)})}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K$$
(B.1)

where

$$L\left(\Omega_{C}^{(i)}\right) = \frac{C_{i}}{S_{i}}$$

and

$$L\left(\Omega^{(i)}\right) = \frac{S_i}{N},$$

so that  $\lambda_i$  defined in (B.1) becomes

$$\lambda_i = N\left(\frac{C_i}{S_i^2}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K$$
 (B.2)

Note that  $\lambda_i$  in (B.2) is basically, the conditional probability of a driver being involved in a crash, given that he/she belongs to that *i*th category. In order to compare the crash involvement propensity of mutually exclusive categories  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_K$  into which the population of all drivers is subdivided, these probabilities can be combined to define the CIPI

$$\phi_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_{j=1}^K \lambda_j}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

Using  $\lambda_i$  from (B.2), the CIPI can be derived in the usable form

$$\phi_{i} = \frac{\frac{C_{i}}{S_{i}^{2}}}{\sum_{j=l}^{K} \left(\frac{C_{j}}{S_{j}^{2}}\right)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K$$
 (B.3)



### 8. References

- [1] NHTSA, Crash Data and Rates for Age-Sex Groups of Drivers, Research Note, US Department of Transportation, Washington DC, 1992.
- [2] NHTSA, Crash Data and Rates for Age-Sex Groups of Drivers, Research Note, US Department of Transportation, Washington DC, 1996.
- [3] NHTSA, Crash Data and Rates for Age-Sex Groups of Drivers, Research Note, US Department of Transportation, Washington DC, 1998.
- [4] Rohatgi, V. K., *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics*, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1976).

DOT HS 809 540 March 2003



