



DOT HS 813 523 November 2023

Occupant Restraint Use in 2022: Results From the NOPUS Controlled Intersection Study

DISCLAIMER

This publication is distributed by the U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, in the interest of information exchange. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Transportation or the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. If trade or manufacturers' names or products are mentioned, it is because they are considered essential to the object of the publications and should not be construed as an endorsement. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers.

Suggested APA Format Citation:

Boyle, L. (2023, November). Occupant restraint use in 2022: Results from the NOPUS Controlled Intersection Study (Report No. DOT HS 813 523). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. DOT HS 813 523	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.				
4. Title and Subtitle Occupant Restraint Use in 2022: Resu	5. Report Date November 2023					
Intersection Study	6. Performing Organization Code NSA-210					
7. Author Lacey L. Boyle, National Center for St	7. Author Lacey L. Boyle, National Center for Statistics and Analysis					
9. Performing Organization Name Mathematical Analysis Division,	Mathematical Analysis Division,					
National Center for Statistics and Anal National Highway Traffic Safety Adm 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE Washington, DC 20590	11. Contract or Grant No.					
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Highway Traffic Safety Adm 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE Washington, DC 20590	13. Type of Report and Period Covered NHTSA Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code					

15. Supplementary Notes

Grace Witherspoon and Yichen Liu from Bowhead Mission Solutions LLC contributed to the production of this report.

16. Abstract

This report presents results from the 2022 National Occupant Protection Use Survey (NOPUS) Controlled Intersection Study, the only nationwide probability-based occupant restraint use survey. NHTSA's National Center for Statistics and Analysis conducts this survey annually. The 2022 NOPUS found several sub-categories with statistically significant year-over-year increases in seat belt use. For instance, front-seat belt use among white occupants ages 8 and older increased significantly from 90.7 percent in 2021 to 92.6 percent in 2022. Front-seat belt use for drivers with passengers all under age 8 significantly increased from 89.0 percent in 2021 to 95.4 percent in 2022. Rear-seat belt use for occupants ages 25 to 69 increased significantly from 65.7 percent in 2021 to 76.0 percent in 2022. For certain sub-categories, historical trends persisted, namely seat belt use continued to be lower for males (90.1%) than females (93.5%) in the front seat for ages 8 and older, seat belt use continued to be lower in rear seats (81.7%) than in front seats (91.6%) for ages 8 and older, and seat belt use continued to be lower among Black occupants (82.6%) than white occupants (92.6%) and members of other races (92.1%) in the front seat for ages 8 and older.

17. Key Words	18. Distribution Statement		
seat belt use, child restraint use, obser rear-seat seat belt use, occupant protec intersections, car seat	This document is a public from the Na Traffic Safety Adn Center for Statistic crashstats.nhtsa.do	itional Highway ninistration, National s and Analysis,	
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified	33	

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed page authorized

Table of Contents

Definitions	v
Executive Summary	1
Introduction	3
Demographic Results in Front Seats	4
Age	
Sex	5
Race	5
Presence of Passengers and Seat Belt Use	6
Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats	8
Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats Versus in Front Seats	8
State Laws and Rear-Seat Belt Use	8
Child Restraint Use	11
Child Restraint Use Among All Children Under 8 Years Old	11
Child Rear Seat Placement	11
Child Restraint Use by Region	12
Child Restraint Use by Time of Week	13
Child Restraint Use by Vehicle Type	13
Child Restraint Use by Driver Type and Belt Use Status	14
NOPUS Methodology	21
Sample Design	21
Data Collection	22
Estimation	23
References	24

List of Figures

Figure 1. Seat Belt Use by Age for Occupants 8 and Older in 2021 and 2022	4
Figure 2. Seat Belt Use by Age for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022	4
Figure 3. Seat Belt Use by Sex for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022	5
Figure 4. Seat Belt Use by Race for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022	5
Figure 5. Passenger Effect on Seat Belt Use for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022	6
Figure 6. Seat Belt Use by Seating Position for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022	8
Figure 7. Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats by State Law Type for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013	
to 2022	9
Figure 8. Child Restraint Use Among Children Under 8 Years Old, 2013 to 2022	11
Figure 9. Child Rear Seat Placement, 2013 to 2022	12
Figure 10. Child Restraint Use by Region in 2021 and 2022	12
Figure 11. Child Restraint Use by Region, 2013 to 2022	13
Figure 12. Child Restraint Use by Time of Week in 2021 and 2022	13
Figure 13. Child Restraint Use by Vehicles Type in 2021 and 2022	14
Figure 14. Child Restraint Use by Driver Belt Status, 2013 to 2022	14

List of Tables

Table 1. Passenger Vehicle Occupant Seat Belt Use in Front Seats (Ages 8 and Older), by	
Demographic and Other Characteristics	7
Table 2. Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats (Age 8 and Older) of Passenger Vehicles, by Major	
Characteristics	. 10
Table 3. Child Restraint Use in Passenger Motor Vehicles, by Major Characteristics	. 15
Table 4. The Percentage of Children Who Rode in Rear Seats, by Major Characteristics	. 17
Table 5. Child Restraint Use in Passenger Motor Vehicles, by Age and Other Characteristics	. 19
Table 6. Sites, Vehicles, and Occupants in the 2022 NOPUS Controlled Intersection Study	. 22

Definitions

The estimates computed from the 2022 National Occupant Protection Use Survey (NOPUS) Controlled Intersection (CI) Study reflect the population of all occupants on the road at a typical daylight moment, not just those at intersections.

Vehicle occupants observed in the NOPUS are counted as "belted" if they appear to have a shoulder belt across the front of the body. NOPUS does not observe the use of lap belts because these restraints cannot be reliably observed from the roadside.

The survey classifies a child as follows.

- Restrained in a rear-facing car seat if the child appears to be on a seat on top of the vehicle seat, facing the rear of the vehicle, with harness straps across the front of the child.
- Restrained in a forward-facing car seat if the child appears to be on a seat on top of the vehicle seat, facing the front of the vehicle, with harness straps across the front of the child.
- **Restrained in a high-back booster seat** if the child appears to be on a seat on top of the vehicle seat with a shoulder belt across the front of the child.
- Restrained in a seat belt or backless booster seat if there is a shoulder belt across the
 front of the child but the observers cannot see if the child is in a seat on top of the vehicle
 seat.
- **Restrained** if the child is restrained by any of the above.
- The remaining children are classified as **unrestrained**. Note that in the survey there is no mention of being "unrestrained" in, for example, a forward-facing car seat. NOPUS does not observe the use of lap belts and does not distinguish between seat belts and backless booster seats, because these assessments cannot be reliable if observed from the roadside.

The racial categories "Black," "white," and "Members of other races" in NOPUS reflect subjective characterizations by roadside observers regarding the race of vehicle occupants. Likewise, observers record age groups (8 to 15 years old, 16 to 24 years old, 25 to 69 years old, and 70 and older) that best fit their visual assessment of each observed occupant.

"Expressways" are defined as roadways with limited access, while "surface streets" are all other roadways.

A roadway is defined to have "fast traffic" during the observation period if the average speed of passenger vehicles passing the observers exceeds 50 mph, with "medium-speed traffic" defined as 31 to 50 mph, and "slow traffic" defined as 30 mph or slower.

A roadway is defined to have "heavy traffic" during the observation period if the average number of vehicles on the roadway is greater than 5 per lane per mile, with "moderately dense traffic" defined as greater than 1 but less than or equal to 5 vehicles per lane per mile, and "light traffic" as less than or equal to 1 vehicle per lane per mile. Please note that this traffic density breakdown was revised in the 2011 NOPUS to better capture the traffic patterns.

Urban and rural area classifications are based on the Census Bureau's 2010 urban area classification. Urban areas are made up of urban (Census-identified urbanized areas of 50,000 or more people) or suburban (Census-identified urban clusters of at least 2,500 and less than 50,000 people) zones. Rural areas are not designated as urban areas or urban clusters.

The NOPUS sample design does not allow for State-by-State restraint use estimates; however, NOPUS produces estimates by region, defined as follows.

Northeast: Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont

Midwest: Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, Nebraska, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin

South: Alabama, Arkansas, the District of Columbia, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia

West: Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming

_

www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography/guidance/geo-areas/urban-rural/2010-urban-rural.html

Executive Summary

NOPUS is the only nationwide probability-based survey of seat belt use for occupants 8 and older in both front and rear seats, motorcycle helmet use, child restraint use for children under 8 years old, and driver electronic device use in the United States. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's National Center for Statistics and Analysis (NCSA) conducts the NOPUS annually. Two sub-surveys – the Moving Traffic (MT) Survey and the CI Study – make up the NOPUS.

In the CI Study, passenger vehicles occupants are observed from the roadside at intersections controlled by stop signs or stoplights. Only stopped vehicles are observed to allow ample time to collect a variety of information required by the survey. NOPUS derives its estimates of seat belt use in rear seats, child restraint use, driver electronic device use, and demographic characteristics of vehicle occupants from the CI Study. Estimates from this study reflect the population of all occupants on the road at a typical daylight moment, not just those at intersections. Details about the NOPUS sample design are in the NOPUS Methodology section of this report.

This report presents results of occupant restraint use from the 2022 NOPUS CI Study. NHTSA publishes the driver electronic device use results and the motorcycle helmet use results in separate research notes. Here are the major findings from the 2022 NOPUS CI Study.

Front-Seat Belt Use (Among Occupants 8 and Older):

- Overall front-seat belt use in 2022 was 91.6 percent, a record high, although not statistically different from 90.4 percent in 2021 with a *p*-value of 0.05.
- While children under age 8 should ride in the rear seat, the front-seat belt use for drivers with passengers all under age 8 significantly increased from 89.0 percent in 2021 to 95.4 percent in 2022.
- Seat belt use continued to be lower for males (90.1%) than for females (93.5%).
- Seat belt use continued to be lowest among 16- to 24-year-olds (87.7%) and highest among those 70 and older (94.2%).
- Seat belt use continued to be lower among Black occupants (82.6%) than white occupants (92.6%) and members of other races (92.1%). Front-seat belt use among white occupants increased significantly from 90.7 percent in 2021 to 92.6 percent in 2022. For the first time in NOPUS history, the front-seat belt use rate for white occupants surpassed members of other races.
- Rear-seat belt use in States requiring belt use only in the front seat has matched that of States requiring belt use in all seating positions. This trend began in 2020 and has persisted for the past 3 years.

Rear-Seat Belt Use (Among Occupants 8 and Older):

- The rear-seat belt use for occupants ages 25 to 69 was 76.0 percent, a significant increase from 65.7 percent in 2021.
- Seat belt use continued to be lower among occupants of rear seats (81.7%) than those in front seats (91.6%).

• Rear-seat belt use in States requiring belt use in all seating positions (81.7%) remains close to the rear-seat belt use in States requiring belt use only in the front seats (81.5%).

Child Restraint Use (For Children From Birth to 7 Years Old):

- Restraint use for children from birth to 7 years old in 2022 was 93.3 percent, not statistically different from 93.4 percent in 2021.
- Among the four regions, the West region had the highest child restraint use rate of 98.2 percent in 2022.
- Restraint use for children driven by belted drivers (94.7%) continued to be significantly higher than for those driven by unbelted drivers (70.6%).
- Restraint use for children in the front seat significantly increased from 71.2 percent in 2021 to 87.9 percent in 2022.

Introduction

NOPUS is the only nationwide probability-based survey of seat belt use for occupants 8 and older in both front and rear seats, motorcycle helmet use, child restraint use for children under 8 years old, and driver electronic device use in the United States. NCSA conducts the NOPUS annually. Two sub-surveys, the MT Survey and the CI Study, make up the NOPUS.

In the MT Survey, front-seat occupant shoulder belt use data and motorcyclist helmet use data are collected either at the roadside or by data collectors in vehicles (on expressways). NOPUS estimates of front-seat belt use and motorcycle helmet use are from the MT Survey. The collective front-seat belt use estimate (also known as NHTSA's national seat belt estimate) is described in Seat Belt Use in 2022 - Overall Results (Boyle, 2023).

By contrast, the NOPUS CI Study data are collected at intersections controlled by stop signs or stoplights, where vehicle occupants are observed from the roadside. Since the vehicles are stationary, data collectors have enough time to record occupants' characteristics such as race, age, and sex. NOPUS derives its estimates of rear-seat belt use, child restraint use, driver electronic device use, and demographic characteristics of the vehicle occupants from the CI Study.

Only motorcycles and passenger vehicles (passenger cars, pickup trucks, SUVs, and vans) are observed in the NOPUS. The population of interest includes all 50 States and the District of Columbia, with the sample observation sites consisting of Federal, State, and county highways, residential streets, and rural roads. Data are collected only during daylight hours when light is adequate to observe seat belt use through the vehicle windshield.

The 2022 NOPUS data were collected from June 6 to June 24, 2022, while the 2021 NOPUS data were collected from June 7 to June 25, 2021. In a typical year, data are collected in early June, immediately following the *Click It or Ticket* campaign. The 2022 NOPUS data are based on the results of 64,233 occupants observed in 48,081 vehicles, which are respectively 7 percent and 6 percent less than the 2021 sample. Of those observed occupants, 2,131 were children under 8. More details on the NOPUS sampling, data collection, and estimation are discussed in the NOPUS Methodology below.

Please note that the terms "significant" and "statistically significant" are used interchangeably throughout this report. "Significant" always means "statistically significant," and the statistical significance level is alpha = 0.05. In tables below, the data with p-values that are less than or equal to 0.05 are formatted in boldface type.

The percentages provided in this report are interpreted as the percentage of occupants during an average daylight moment.

Demographic Results in Front Seats

The national seat belt use estimate in 2022 was 91.6 percent; not a significant change from 90.4 percent in 2021 (Boyle, 2023). This section presents a demographic breakdown of the occupants who used seat belts in 2022. Table 1 presents passenger vehicle occupant seat belt use in front seats by demographic and other characteristics in 2021 and 2022. NOPUS demographics are highlighted below.

Age

Figure 1 and Table 1 show a comparison of seat belt use rates from 2021 and 2022 across four age groups. None of the age groups experienced a significant year-to-year change in seat belt use rate.




Figure 1. Seat Belt Use by Age for Occupants 8 and Older in 2021 and 2022

Figure 2 shows the trends of seat belt use for the four age groups over a 10-year period (2013 to 2022). Seat belt use among 16- to 24-year-olds has been consistently lower than other age groups. Seat belt use among 25- to 69-year-olds and ages 70 and older reached a record high.




Figure 2. Seat Belt Use by Age for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022

Sex

Figure 3 shows the trends of seat belt use for male and female occupants over a 10-year period (2013 to 2022). In 2022, seat belt use continued to be lower for males (90.1%) than females (93.5%). Seat belt use for males and females reached a record high in NOPUS history.




Figure 3. Seat Belt Use by Sex for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022

Race

In NOPUS, observed vehicle occupant race categories are Black, white, and members of other races. This characterization is based on the visual assessment of the data collectors who observe vehicle occupants from roadsides.

Figure 4 shows the trends of seat belt use among the three race categories over a 10-year period (2013 to 2022). In 2022 seat belt use continued to be lower among Black occupants than white occupants and members of other races. The front-seat belt use for white occupants was 92.6 percent, a significant increase from 90.7 percent in 2021, and this is a record high. For the first time in NOPUS history the front-seat belt use rate for white occupants surpassed members of other races.




Figure 4. Seat Belt Use by Race for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022

Presence of Passengers and Seat Belt Use

Figure 5 shows that seat belt use continued to be lower for a driver driving alone (91.5%) than for a driver driving with at least one passenger in the vehicle (93.0%). Both of these use rates are a record high in NOPUS history.




Figure 5. Passenger Effect on Seat Belt Use for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022

Table 1. Passenger Vehicle Occupant Seat Belt Use in Front Seats (Ages 8 and Older), by Demographic and Other Characteristics

	2021			2022	200	21-2022 Change	;
Occupant Group ¹	Belt Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Belt Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Change in Percentage ⁷	95% Confidence Interval ⁴	<i>P</i> -value ⁵
All Occupants	90.4%	(88.9, 91.7)	91.6%	(90.0, 92.9)	1.2	(-0.4, 2.8)	0.14
Males ⁶	88.8%	(87.2, 90.3)	90.1%	(88.3, 91.6)	1.3	(-0.5, 3.0)	0.16
Females ⁶	92.4%	(90.9, 93.7)	93.5%	(92.0, 94.7)	1.1	(-0.5, 2.6)	0.16
Occupants by Age Group ⁶							
8 to 15	93.3%	(90.4, 95.4)	92.2%	(87.9, 95.1)	-1.2	(-5.5, 3.1)	0.59
16 to 24	88.2%	(85.6, 90.5)	87.7%	(84.2, 90.5)	-0.6	(-5.1, 4.0)	0.80
25 to 69	90.4%	(88.8, 91.8)	91.7%	(90.2, 93.0)	1.4	(-0.1, 2.9)	0.07
70 and Older	92.2%	(90.5, 93.6)	94.2%	(92.2, 95.7)	2.0	(-0.0, 4.1)	0.05
Occupants by Race ⁶							
White	90.7%	(88.9, 92.2)	92.6%	(91.2, 93.8)	1.9	(0.2, 3.6)	0.03
Black	85.2%	(80.9, 88.7)	82.6%	(76.8, 87.2)	-2.6	(-7.8, 2.6)	0.31
Members of Other Races	92.6%	(91.1, 93.9)	92.1%	(89.3, 94.2)	-0.5	(-2.9, 1.8)	0.65
Drivers With							
No Passengers	89.9%	(88.2, 91.4)	91.5%	(90.0, 92.9)	1.6	(-0.2, 3.4)	0.07
At Least One Passenger	92.4%	(90.7, 93.8)	93.0%	(91.3, 94.4)	0.6	(-1.1, 2.3)	0.47
Drivers With							
No Passengers	89.9%	(88.2, 91.4)	91.5%	(90.0, 92.9)	1.6	(-0.2, 3.4)	0.07
Passengers All Under 8	89.0%	(83.2, 92.2)	95.4%	(93.7, 96.6)	6.4	(1.9, 11.0)	0.01
Passengers All 8 and Older	92.7%	(91.2, 94.0)	92.8%	(91.1, 94.3)	0.2	(-1.5, 1.9)	0.85
Some Passengers Under 8 and Some 8 or Older	93.1%	(90.0, 95.3)	92.4%	(88.0, 95.3)	-0.7	(-4.3, 2.9)	0.71
Drivers 16-24 With							
No Passengers	88.5%	(85.9, 90.8)	87.8%	(84.4, 90.5)	-0.8	(-5.0, 3.5)	0.72
Passengers All 16-24	91.2%	(87.1, 94.0)	87.3%	(80.2, 92.2)	-3.8	(-11.1, 3.5)	0.29
At Least One Passenger Not 16-24	87.6%	(82.7, 91.3)	91.1%	(82.1, 95.9)	3.5	(-5.3, 12.3)	0.42
Occupants 16-24 When							
All Occupants Are 16-24	88.8%	(86.1, 91.0)	87.0%	(83.1, 90.2)	-1.8	(-6.4, 2.9)	0.44
At Least One Occupant Is Not 16-24	87.0%	(82.8, 90.2)	89.3%	(85.7, 92.0)	2.3	(-2.9, 7.4)	0.37

¹ Drivers and right-front passengers of passenger vehicles.

²Use of shoulder belts observed from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m.

³ The Wilson confidence interval is used in the estimated percentages in the occupant group (e.g., occupants who are male), which is in the form: $\left\{ (2n_{EFF}p + t^2) \pm t\sqrt{(t^2 + 4n_{EFF}pq)} \right\} / \left(2(n_{EFF} + t^2) \right), \text{ where } p \text{ is the estimated percentage of Belt Use, } n_{EFF} = n/D_{EFF} \text{ is the effective sample size (where } n \text{ is the sample size and } D_{EFF} \text{ is the design effect), } t = t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df), \text{ is a multiplier from the t-distribution with } df \text{ degrees of freedom, }$ and q = 1 - p. For percentages, these endpoints are multiplied by 100.

⁴ The regular symmetric interval was used for the estimated change in percentage point, which is in the form: $p \pm t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)\sqrt{v(p)}$, where p is the estimated change in percentage point, v(p) is its estimated variance, and $t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$ is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom.

⁵ A *p*-value of 0.05 or less indicates that there is a statistically significant difference (at the alpha=0.05 level) between the year-over-year estimates for the group in question, **indicated with bold type**.

⁶ The age, sex, and racial classifications are based on the subjective assessments of roadside observers.

⁷ The "Change in Percentage Points" column was computed using unrounded estimates and may not equal the difference between the rounded estimates displayed in the table.

Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats

According to Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208, Occupant Crash Protection, all passenger cars shall have integral lap and shoulder belts at every front outboard designated seating position and every rear designated seating position (except for side-facing seating positions). Using the 2020 vehicle registration data from the National Vehicle Population Profile (R. L. Polk & Co., n.a.), NHTSA estimated that 96.4 percent of passenger vehicles on the road have shoulder belts in the rear outboard seating positions. Of the 3.6 percent of vehicles that have only lap belts in the rear outboard seats, all observed rear-seat vehicle occupants are counted by NOPUS as *unbelted* (not restrained with a shoulder belt), even if they are using lap belts. So, NOPUS rear-seat shoulder belt use estimates reflect both the degree to which vehicle occupants use restraints and the availability of shoulder belts in these seating positions. Please note that NOPUS only observes up to two passengers in the second row of seats and none in the third row and beyond.

Table 2 shows results of seat belt use in rear seats of passenger vehicles in 2021 and 2022 as well as the changes between the 2 years. Some major results are highlighted below.

Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats Versus in Front Seats

Figure 6 shows the front- and rear-seat belt use trends from 2013 to 2022. As in previous years, seat belt use in 2022 was lower in rear seats (81.7%) than in front seats (91.6%). Both are record high seat belt use rates in NOPUS history.




Figure 6. Seat Belt Use by Seating Position for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022

State Laws and Rear-Seat Belt Use

At the time the 2022 NOPUS survey was conducted, 31 States and the District of Columbia required all vehicle occupants 18 and older to use seat belts when riding in rear seats (Highway Loss Data Institute, 2022). Please note that rear-seat belt use laws are secondary in Alabama, Idaho, Kansas, Maryland, Massachusetts, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, Vermont, and Wyoming. Secondary seat belt laws state that a law enforcement officer may issue a ticket for not wearing a seat belt only when there is another citable traffic infraction. New Hampshire is the only State that does not have mandatory seat belt laws for adults 18 and older.

The following States and the District of Columbia had laws in effect as of June 6, 2022, that require people 18 and older to use seat belts in all seating positions (Highway Loss Data Institute, 2022).

Alabama, Alaska, California, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

Figure 7 shows the trend of rear-seat belt use among passengers in the States with or without laws requiring belt use in all seating positions over the period 2013 to 2022. Rear-seat belt use in States with laws requiring belt use in all seating positions increased from 77.7 percent in 2021 to 81.7 percent in 2022, while rear-seat belt use in States with laws requiring belt use only in the front seat increased from 78.8 percent in 2021 to 81.5 percent in 2022. Both of these increases are not statistically significant. It is interesting to note that rear-seat belt use in States requiring belt use only in the front seat has continued to match rear-seat belt use in States requiring belt use in all seating positions. This trend started in 2020.




Figure 7. Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats by State Law Type for Occupants 8 and Older, 2013 to 2022 Seat belt use among female passengers in rear seats (83.8%) was higher than the seat belt use among male passengers in rear seats (79.9%). Seat belt use continued to be lower among Black occupants (66.0%) than white occupants (87.3%) and members of other races (69.2%) in rear seats. There was a significant increase in seat belt use in the rear seat for motorists who appeared to be 25 to 69 years old, from 65.7 percent in 2021 to 76.0 percent in 2022.

Table 2. Seat Belt Use in Rear Seats (Age 8 and Older) of Passenger Vehicles, by Major Characteristics

				2022	2021-2022 Change			
Passenger Group ¹	Belt Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Belt Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Change in Percentage ⁷	95% Confidence Interval ⁴	P-value ⁵	
All Passengers	77.9%	(69.8, 84.4)	81.7%	(75.1, 86.8)	3.7	(-3.7, 11.1)	0.31	
Males ⁶	75.1%	(67.2, 81.6)	79.9%	(73.0, 85.4)	4.8	(-3.5, 13.1)	0.25	
Females ⁶	81.0%	(71.3, 88.0)	83.8%	(77.0, 88.9)	2.8	(-4.9, 10.5)	0.47	
Passengers by Age Group ⁶								
8 to 15	84.8%	(78.4, 89.5)	89.5%	(84.4, 93.0)	4.7	(-3.6, 13.0)	0.25	
16 to 24	78.8%	(72.5, 84.0)	77.4%	(69.4, 83.9)	-1.4	(-10.2, 7.4)	0.75	
25 to 69	65.7%	(50.1, 78.6)	76.0%	(67.2, 83.0)	10.2	(0.1, 20.3)	0.05	
70 and Older	89.4%	(73.9, 96.1)	91.7%	(81.1, 96.6)	2.3	(-11.4, 16.0)	0.73	
Passengers by Race ⁶								
White	83.1%	(78.5, 86.9)	87.3%	(83.1, 90.6)	4.2	(-2.3, 10.7)	0.20	
Black	53.1%	(34.9, 70.5)	66.0%	(55.2, 75.3)	12.9	(-8.3, 34.1)	0.22	
Members of Other Races	70.7%	(50.8, 84.9)	69.2%	(58.8, 78.0)	-1.5	(-15.7, 12.8)	0.84	
Passengers in States With Laws Requiring Belts Be Used								
In All Seating Positions	77.7%	(67.3, 85.6)	81.7%	(74.0, 87.5)	4.0	(-4.8, 12.7)	0.36	
In Front Seats Only	78.8%	(73.2, 83.4)	81.5%	(70.2, 89.2)	2.8	(-9.0, 14.5)	0.63	

¹ Up to two passengers observed in the second row of seats in passenger vehicles.

² Use of shoulder belts observed from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m.

³ The Wilson confidence interval is used in the estimated percentages in the occupant group (e.g., occupants who are male), which is in the form: $\{(2n_{EFF}p+t^2) \pm t\sqrt{(t^2+4n_{EFF}pq)}\}/(2(n_{EFF}+t^2))$, where p is the estimated percentage of Belt Use, $n_{EFF} = n/D_{EFF}$ is the effective sample size (where n is the sample size and D_{EFF} is the design effect), $t = t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$, is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom, and q = 1 - p. For percentages, these endpoints are multiplied by 100.

⁴ The regular symmetric interval was used for the estimated change in percentage point, which is in the form: $p \pm t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)\sqrt{v(p)}$, where p is the estimated change in percentage point, v(p) is its estimated variance, and $t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$ is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom.

⁵ A *p*-value of 0.05 or less indicates that there is a statistically significant difference (at the alpha=0.05 level) between the year-over-year estimates for the group in question, **indicated with bold type**.

⁶ The age, sex, and racial classifications are based on the subjective assessments of roadside observers.

⁷ The "Change in Percentage Points" column was computed using unrounded estimates and may not equal the difference between the rounded estimates displayed in the table.

Child Restraint Use

In 2022 NOPUS continued to collect roadside observational data on child restraint use for all children under 8 years old. Detailed results of child restraint use are shown in Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5. Table 3 shows the results of child restraint use in passenger motor vehicles by major characteristics in 2021 and 2022 as well as the changes between the 2 years. Table 4 shows results on child rear seat placement by major characteristics in 2021 and 2022 as well as the changes between the 2 years. Table 5 divides the child occupants into three age groups and reports restraint use by some other characteristics among these groups. Some of the major results of child restraint use are discussed below.

Child Restraint Use Among All Children Under 8 Years Old

Restraint use for children under 8 in 2022 was 93.3 percent, down from 93.4 percent in 2021, which is not a significant change. Figure 8 shows the child restraint use trend since 2013.




Figure 8. Child Restraint Use Among Children Under 8 Years Old, 2013 to 2022

Child Rear Seat Placement

Figure 9 shows the trends for each age group of rear-seat placement of children under 8 from 2013 to 2022. The 2022 NOPUS found that 91.4 percent of children under 8 rode in rear seats of vehicles which is not a significant decrease from 94.1 percent in 2021. In the infant group (from birth to 12 months), 98.5 percent rode in rear seats. In 2022, 97.9 percent of children 1 to 3 years old and 86.2 percent of 4- to 7-year-olds were in rear seats. Rear seat placement among children under 8 in States with laws requiring children under 8 to be in the rear seat reduced significantly from 94.7 percent in 2021 to 88.6 percent in 2022. Rear seat placement among children driven by a belted driver decreased significantly from 94.8 percent in 2021 to 91.3 percent in 2022. Also, rear seat placement among children driven by a male driver decreased significantly from 94.9 percent in 2021 to 91.6 percent in 2022. Finally, rear seat placement among children using a seat belt or backless booster seat decreased significantly from 89.2 percent in 2021 to 82.0 percent in 2022.




Figure 9. Child Rear Seat Placement, 2013 to 2022

As of June 6, 2022, at the time the 2022 survey was conducted, 11 States required children 5 and younger who weighed less than 80 pounds and were less than 54 inches tall, to ride in the rear seats of vehicles. The following is a list of those states: California, Georgia, Louisiana, Maine, Nebraska, New Jersey, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Washington, and Wyoming. In no other State did such laws take effect from June 7, 2021, to June 6, 2022. In Delaware, children 11 and younger and 65 inches or less must be in rear seats if air bags are active (Highway Loss Data Institute, 2022).

Child Restraint Use by Region

There were no significant changes in child restraint use from 2021 to 2022 in any of the regions, as shown in Figure 10. Among all regions, the biggest change occurred in the Midwest where child restraint use decreased from 93.9 percent in 2021 to 92.4 percent in 2022.

Figure 11 shows the trends in child restraint use by region from 2013 to 2022. The West region had the highest restraint use rate of 98.2 percent in 2022, a record high for the West, while the South region had the lowest restraint use rate of 91.2 percent in 2022.




Figure 10. Child Restraint Use by Region in 2021 and 2022




Figure 11. Child Restraint Use by Region, 2013 to 2022

Child Restraint Use by Time of Week

Child restraint use increased for each of the time of week categories except the weekends; however, none of the changes are statistically significant (Figure 12).




Figure 12. Child Restraint Use by Time of Week in 2021 and 2022

Child Restraint Use by Vehicle Type

Child restraint use decreased for passenger cars and vans and SUVS. Child restraint use increased for pickup trucks. However, none of these changes are statistically significant (Figure 13).




Figure 13. Child Restraint Use by Vehicles Type in 2021 and 2022

Child Restraint Use by Driver Type and Belt Use Status

As shown in Figure 14, restraint use for children driven by belted drivers continued to be significantly higher than for those driven by unbelted drivers.




Figure 14. Child Restraint Use by Driver Belt Status, 2013 to 2022

Table 3. Child Restraint Use in Passenger Motor Vehicles, by Major Characteristics

	2021		·	2022	2021-2022 Change		
Child December C		95%		95%	Change in	Confidence in	
Child Passenger Group ¹	Restraint Use ²	Confidence Interval ³	Restraint Use ²	Confidence Interval ³	Percentage Points ⁶	a Change in Use ⁴	P- value ⁵
All Child Passengers (From Birth to 7 Years)	93.4%	(91.3, 95.0)	93.3%	(90.3, 95.4)	-0.1	(-3.0, 2.7)	0.92
0 (Infants)	99.4%	(97.5, 99.9)	97.6%	(94.1, 99.1)	-1.8	(-3.6, 0.1)	0.06
1-3	96.4%	(93.2, 98.1)	96.1%	(93.1, 97.8)	-0.3	(-3.6, 3.0)	0.85
4-7	90.3%	(87.4, 92.6)	90.8%	(86.6, 93.8)	0.5	(-3.6, 4.6)	0.80
Children Driven by							
a Belted Driver	95.1%	(93.2, 96.5)	94.7%	(92.3, 96.3)	-0.4	(-3.0, 2.1)	0.74
an Unbelted Driver	76.6%	(62.2, 86.7)	70.6%	(55.4, 82.3)	-6.0	(-24.7, 12.7)	0.52
a Male Driver	93.0%	(90.5, 94.9)	93.4%	(89.4, 95.9)	0.4	(-3.3, 4.0)	0.84
a Female Driver	93.8%	(91.2, 95.6)	93.2%	(90.1, 95.4)	-0.5	(-3.8, 2.8)	0.75
a Driver 16 to 24	94.7%	(88.9, 97.5)	91.1%	(81.7, 96.0)	-3.5	(-11.1, 4.1)	0.35
a Driver 25 to 69	93.4%	(91.1, 95.1)	93.4%	(90.7, 95.3)	0.0	(-2.9, 2.9)	1.00
a Driver 70 and Older	91.1%	(82.4, 95.7)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
a White Driver	95.2%	(93.2, 96.6)	95.8%	(93.4, 97.4)	0.7	(-1.7, 3.1)	0.57
a Black Driver	81.9%	(71.8, 88.9)	82.2%	(72.1, 89.2)	0.3	(-13.5, 14.1)	0.96
a Driver Who is a Member of Other Races	91.4%	(86.8, 94.5)	89.6%	(83.5, 93.6)	-1.8	(-8.3, 4.7)	0.58
Children in							
Front Seats	71.2%	(57.2, 82.1)	87.9%	(80.7, 92.7)	16.7	(3.7, 29.7)	0.01
Rear Seats	94.8%	(93.0, 96.2)	93.8%	(90.8, 95.9)	-1.0	(-3.8, 1.8)	0.47
Child Passengers on							
Expressways	95.6%	(92.5, 97.4)	96.9%	(93.8, 98.5)	1.3	(-1.8, 4.5)	0.39
Surface Streets	92.0%	(88.9, 94.3)	90.4%	(86.8, 93.1)	-1.6	(-5.5, 2.3)	0.41
Child Passengers Traveling in							
Fast Traffic	95.4%	(93.3, 96.8)	95.1%	(91.6, 97.1)	-0.3	(-3.4, 2.8)	0.84
Medium-Speed Traffic	91.4%	(86.9, 94.5)	93.2%	(89.5, 95.6)	1.8	(-2.9, 6.5)	0.44
Slow Traffic	91.9%	(87.3, 94.9)	90.6%	(86.1, 93.7)	-1.3	(-7.2, 4.5)	0.65
Child Passengers Traveling in							
Heavy Traffic	94.7%	(92.2, 96.4)	94.2%	(91.0, 96.4)	-0.4	(-3.7, 2.8)	0.78
Moderately Dense Traffic	92.3%	(89.6, 94.4)	93.7%	(91.2, 95.6)	1.4	(-1.5, 4.3)	0.32
Light Traffic	88.9%	(80.7, 93.9)	83.3%	(75.7, 88.9)	-5.6	(-13.9, 2.6)	0.17
Child Passengers Travelling through							
Not Clear Weather Conditions	94.9%	(88.8, 97.8)	97.2%	(92.4, 99.0)	2.3	(-3.6, 8.2)	0.44
Clear Weather Conditions	93.2%	(91.2, 94.8)	93.0%	(90.0, 95.1)	-0.3	(-3.2, 2.7)	0.85
Child Passengers in	00.101	(0.5.5.C)	00.007	(0.4.0, 0.7.7)	0.5		0.05
Passenger Cars	90.4%	(85.7, 93.6)	89.8%	(84.8, 93.3)	-0.6	(-6.5, 5.4)	0.85
Vans and SUVs	95.8%	(93.7, 97.2)	95.1%	(92.7, 96.7)	-0.7	(-2.9, 1.4)	0.50
Pickup Trucks	86.8%	(78.8, 92.1)	91.0%	(83.5, 95.3)	4.2	(-3.8, 12.2)	0.29
Child Passengers in the			0.0				
Northeast	92.7%	(86.7, 96.2)	92.0%	(85.7, 95.6)	-0.8	(-9.1, 7.6)	0.85
Midwest	93.9%	(89.4, 96.6)	92.4%	(87.3, 95.5)	-1.6	(-8.2, 5.0)	0.63
South	91.6%	(87.5, 94.5)	91.2%	(83.3, 95.6)	-0.4	(-5.7, 4.9)	0.88
West	97.5%	(95.8, 98.6)	98.2%	(97.2, 98.9)	0.7	(-0.9, 2.3)	0.38

	2	2021		2022	2021-2022 Change		
Child Passenger Group ¹	Restraint Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Restraint Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Change in Percentage Points ⁶	Confidence in a Change in Use ⁴	P- value ⁵
Child Passengers in							
Urban Areas	93.6%	(90.6, 95.6)	93.6%	(90.4, 95.7)	0.0	(-3.8, 3.8)	1.00
Rural Areas	93.2%	(91.0, 94.9)	92.6%	(88.8, 95.2)	-0.6	(-3.4, 2.2)	0.67
Child Passengers Traveling During							
Weekdays	93.1%	(90.3, 95.1)	93.5%	(89.6, 96.0)	0.4	(-3.0, 3.8)	0.80
Rush Hours	93.8%	(91.4, 95.6)	93.9%	(89.3, 96.6)	0.2	(-3.4, 3.7)	0.93
Non-Rush Hours	92.4%	(87.2, 95.5)	93.0%	(88.9, 95.6)	0.6	(-4.1, 5.3)	0.79
Weekends	94.0%	(91.5, 95.8)	92.8%	(89.2, 95.3)	-1.2	(-4.6, 2.2)	0.47

¹ Passengers under age 8 observed from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. in the right-front seat or the second row of seats in passenger vehicles that are stopped at a stop sign or stoplight. Age, sex, and racial classifications are based on the subjective assessments of roadside observers.

NA: Data were not sufficient to produce a reliable estimate.

² Use of child car seats (forward- or rear-facing), booster seats, and seat belts.

³ The Wilson confidence interval is used in the estimated percentages in the occupant group (e.g., occupants who are male), which is in the form: $\{(2n_{EFF}p+t^2) \pm t\sqrt{(t^2+4n_{EFF}pq)}\}/(2(n_{EFF}+t^2))$, where p is the estimated percentage of Belt Use, $n_{EFF} = n/D_{EFF}$ is the effective sample size (where n is the sample size and D_{EFF} is the design effect), $t = t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$, is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom, and q = 1 - p. For percentages, these endpoints are multiplied by $t = t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$

⁴ The regular symmetric interval was used for the estimated change in percentage point, which is in the form: $p \pm t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)\sqrt{v(p)}$, where p is the estimated change in percentage point, v(p) is its estimated variance, and $t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$ is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom.

⁵ A p-value of 0.05 or less indicates that there is a statistically significant difference (at the alpha=0.05 level) between the year-over-year estimates for the group in question, **indicated with bold type**.

⁶ The "Change in Percentage Points" column was computed using unrounded estimates and may not equal the difference between the rounded estimates displayed in the table.

Table 4. The Percentage of Children Who Rode in Rear Seats, by Major Characteristics

Percentage Percentage Sew Workson Confidence Interval Rear Seal Confidence Interval Confidence		20)21		2022	20	2021-2022 Change		
Confidence Con	Child Process Co. 1							_	
Rear Sear Instruct Rear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear	Child Passenger Group					_		-	
Birth to 7 Years 99.1% 99.2% 90.8% 90.85, 99.54 9.2. 9.3. 0.3 0.22, 28 0.81		Rear Seat ²	Interval ³	Rear Seat ²	Interval ³		Change in Use	value	
1-3		94.1%	(92.2, 95.6)	91.4%	(88.9, 93.4)	-2.7	(-5.9, 0.4)	0.08	
Child Passengers in States With Child Passengers in States with Child Passengers in States with Child Passengers in State State P4.7% P4.7	0 (Infants)	98.2%	(95.7, 99.2)	98.5%	(95.6, 99.5)	0.3	(-2.2, 2.8)	0.81	
Child Passengers in States With Law Requiring Children From 94.7% 92.1, 96.5) 88.6% (81.6, 93.2) -6.1 (-11.1, -1.1) 0.02	1-3	98.6%	(96.9, 99.4)	97.9%	(95.2, 99.1)	-0.7	(-2.7, 1.2)	0.44	
Lava Requiring Children From Seats 94.7% 92.11, 96.5 88.6% 81.6, 93.2 -6.1 (-11.1, -1.1) 0.02	4-7	90.6%	(87.4, 93.0)	86.2%	(81.7, 89.8)	-4.3	(-9.9, 1.3)	0.12	
Birth to 5 Vears Beat Seath Seat	Child Passengers in States With ⁶								
Children Driven by	Birth to 5 Years Be in Rear	94.7%	(92.1, 96.5)	88.6%	(81.6, 93.2)	-6.1	(-11.1, -1.1)	0.02	
A Belted Driver 94.8% (93.3, 96.0) 91.3% (88.9, 93.3) -3.5 (-6.2, -0.9) 0.01	No Such Law	94.0%	(91.7, 95.7)	92.1%	(89.4, 94.1)	-1.9	(-5.3, 1.5)	0.27	
A Maie Driver 87.1% (72.1, 94.7) 92.8% (81.8, 97.4) 5.7 (-7.5, 18.8) 0.38	Children Driven by								
A Male Driver 87.19% (72.1, 94.7) 92.89% (818.8, 97.4) 5.7 (-7.5, 18.8) 0.38	a Belted Driver	94.8%	(93.3, 96.0)	91.3%	(88.9, 93.3)	-3.5	(-6.2, -0.9)	0.01	
A Male Driver 94.9% (93.0. 96.4) 91.6% (88.0. 93.7) -3.3 (-6.30.2) 0.04	an Unbelted Driver	87.1%	, , ,	92.8%		5.7		0.38	
a Female Driver 93.5% (90.8.95.5) 91.2% (883.9.5.5) -2.3 (-6.2, 1.6) 0.24 a Driver 16 to 24 97.6% (95.2.9.8.8) 85.7% (677.94.5) -11.9 (-25.5.17) 0.08 a Driver 25 to 69 93.9% (91.8.95.5) 91.7% (89.2.9.37) -2.2 (-5.3, 1.0) 0.17 a Driver 70 and Older 99.5% (72.4.97.2) NA			, ,						
A Driver 16 to 24 97.6% (952.9.8.8) 85.7% (677.9.4.5) -11.9 (-25.5, 1.7) 0.08 A Driver 25 to 69 93.9% (918.9.55) 91.7% (892.9.37) -2.2 (-5.3, 1.0) 0.17 A Driver 70 and Older 90.5% (72.4, 97.2) NA									
a Driver 25 to 69 93.9% (91.8, 95.5) 91.7% (89.2, 93.7) -2.2 (-5.3, 1.0) 0.17 a Driver 70 and Older 90.5% (72.4, 97.2) NA			/	-	. , ,				
a Driver 70 and Older a White Driver a White Driver a Black Driver 94.3% (91.9, 96.0) 91.4% (88.6, 93.6) 2-9 (-6.5, 0.7) 0.11 0.88 a Driver Who is a Member of Other Races Other Races Child Passengers on Expressways 96.5% (93.9, 98.0) 92.7% (88.7, 95.4) 89.8% (88.7, 95.4) 89.8% (88.7, 95.4) 89.8% (88.7, 95.4) 89.8% (88.7, 95.4) 3.8 (-7.7, 0.2) 0.06 Child Passengers Travelling in Fast Traffic 95.5% (87.6, 95.5) 90.2% (88.8, 94.7) 91.1% (88.8, 93.7) 92.4% (88.0, 95.2) 3.1 (-7.4, 0.2) 0.15 Medium-Speed Traffic 93.5% (89.8, 94.7) 91.1% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 95.2) 92.5% (87.6, 95.5) 90.2% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.4% (88.8, 94.7) 92.2% (88.									
A White Driver 94.3% (91.9, 96.0) 91.4% (88.6, 93.6) -2.9 (-6.5, 0.7) 0.11 A Black Driver 89.1% (79.8, 94.4) 89.8% (82.2, 94.3) 0.7 (-6.7, 8.0) 0.85 A Driver Who is a Member of Other Races 96.9% (94.5, 98.3) 92.6% (85.1, 96.4) 4.3 (-9.3, 0.7) 0.09 Child Passengers on Expressways 96.5% (93.9, 98.0) 92.7% (88.7, 95.4) 3.3 (-77.0, 0.2) 0.06 Surface Streets 92.6% (89.8, 94.7) 90.4% (87.1, 92.9) -2.2 (-6.0, 1.5) 0.24 Child Passengers Traveling in							` ` `		
a Black Driver Who is a Member of Other Races Office Races of Other Races Office Ra	* *		. , ,						
a Driver Who is a Member of Other Races Child Passengers on Child Passengers on Surface Streets 92.6% (89.8, 94.7) 90.4% (88.7, 95.4) -3.8 (-7.7, 0.2) 0.06 (-7.7, 0.2) 0.05 (-7							` ` `		
Other Races 96.9% (94.5, 98.3) 92.6% (85.1, 96.4) -4.3 (9.3, 0.7) 0.09 Child Passengers on Expressways 96.5% (93.9, 98.0) 92.7% (88.7, 95.4) -3.8 (-7.7, 0.2) 0.06 Surface Streets 92.6% (89.8, 94.7) 90.4% (87.1, 92.9) -2.2 (-6.0, 1.5) 0.24 Child Passengers Traveling in Fast Traffic 95.5% (93.5, 96.9) 92.4% (88.0, 95.2) -2.3 (-7.4, 1.2) 0.15 Medium-Speed Traffic 92.5% (87.6, 95.5) 90.29% (87.2, 92.5) -2.3 (-7.4, 1.2) 0.15 Medium-Speed Traffic 93.5% (89.8, 95.9) 91.1% (85.4, 94.7) -2.4 (-7.5, 2.6) 0.33 Child Passengers Traveling in Heavy Traffic 94.3% (91.4, 96.3) 91.7% (89.1, 93.7) -2.6 (-6.2, 1.0) 0.15 Moderately Dense Traffic 94.9% (92.7, 95.1) 92.2% (88.9, 94.5) -1.9 (-4.9, 1.1) 0.21 Light Traffic 93.4% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>(79.8, 94.4)</td> <td>89.870</td> <td>(62.2, 94.3)</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>(-0.7, 8.0)</td> <td>0.83</td>			(79.8, 94.4)	89.870	(62.2, 94.3)	0.7	(-0.7, 8.0)	0.83	
Expressways 96.5% (93.9, 98.0) 92.7% (88.7, 95.4) -3.8 (-7.7, 0.2) 0.06 Surface Streets 92.6% (89.8, 94.7) 90.4% (87.1, 92.9) -2.2 (-60, 1.5) 0.24 Child Passengers Traveling in Fast Traffic 95.5% (93.5, 96.9) 92.4% (88.0, 95.2) -3.1 (-7.4, 1.2) 0.15 Medium-Speed Traffic 92.5% (87.6, 95.5) 90.2% (87.2, 92.5) -2.3 (-7.0, 2.4) 0.32 Slow Traffic 93.5% (89.8, 95.9) 91.1% (85.4, 94.7) -2.4 (-7.5, 2.6) 0.33 Child Passengers Traveling in Heavy Traffic 94.3% (91.4, 96.3) 91.7% (89.1, 93.7) -2.6 (-6.2, 1.0) 0.15 Moderately Dense Traffic 94.0% (92.7, 95.1) 92.2% (88.9, 94.5) -1.9 (-4.9, 1.1) 0.21 Light Traffic 93.4% (90.0, 95.7) 86.4% (76.0, 92.7) -7.0 (-15.2, 1.1) 0.09 Child Passengers Travelling through Not Clear Weather Conditions Passenger Saveling Passengers 94.9% (92.1, 95.7) 91.3% (88.6, 93.4) -2.9 (-6.4, 0.6) 0.10 Child Passengers in Passenger Cars 94.9% (91.6, 96.9) 94.1% (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-6.2, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the Northeast 98.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Child Passengers Traveling Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Child Passengers Traveling Urban Areas 93.9% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Child Passengers Traveling Urban Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-6.8, 1.41) 0.51	Other Races	96.9%	(94.5, 98.3)	92.6%	(85.1, 96.4)	-4.3	(-9.3, 0.7)	0.09	
Surface Streets Child Passengers Traveling in Fast Traffic P5.5% (93.5, 96.9) P9.24% (88.0, 95.2) Slow Traffic P3.5% (89.8, 95.5) P9.1% (88.0, 95.2) Slow Traffic P3.5% (89.8, 95.9) P1.9% (88.0, 95.2) Slow Traffic P3.5% (89.8, 95.9) P1.9% (88.4, 94.7) P3.2% Slow Traffic P4.3% (91.4, 96.3) P1.7% (88.1, 93.7) P3.2% Slow Traffic P4.3% (91.4, 96.3) P1.7% (88.1, 93.7) P3.2% (88.9, 94.5) P3.2% Slow Traffic P4.0% P4.3% (90.0, 95.7) Slow Traffic P5.4% P5.4% P6.0% P6		06.50/	(02.0.00.0)	02.70/	(00.7.05.4)	2.0	(77.00)	0.06	
Child Passengers Traveling in Fast Traffic 95.5% (93.5, 96.9) 92.4% (88.0, 95.2) -3.1 (-7.4, 1.2) 0.15	1 ,		. , ,				. , ,		
Fast Traffic 95.5% (93.5, 96.9) 92.4% (88.0, 95.2) -3.1 (-7.4, 1.2) 0.15		92.6%	(89.8, 94.7)	90.4%	(87.1, 92.9)	-2.2	(-6.0, 1.5)	0.24	
Medium-Speed Traffic 92.5% (87.6, 95.5) 90.2% (87.2, 92.5) -2.3 (-7.0, 2.4) 0.32		0.5.50/	(02.5.06.0)	02.40/	(00.0.05.0)	2.1	(5.4.1.0)	0.15	
Slow Traffic 93.5% (89.8, 95.9) 91.1% (85.4, 94.7) -2.4 (-7.5, 2.6) 0.33			, , ,			1			
Child Passengers Traveling in Child Passengers Traveling in Child Passengers in the Child Passengers in the Child Passengers in Child Passengers Child Passeng	•								
Heavy Traffic 94.3% (91.4, 96.3) 91.7% (89.1, 93.7) -2.6 (-6.2, 1.0) 0.15 Moderately Dense Traffic 94.0% (92.7, 95.1) 92.2% (88.9, 94.5) -1.9 (-4.9, 1.1) 0.21 Light Traffic 93.4% (90.0, 95.7) 86.4% (76.0, 92.7) -7.0 (-15.2, 1.1) 0.09 Child Passengers Travelling through		93.5%	(89.8, 95.9)	91.1%	(85.4, 94.7)	-2.4	(-7.5, 2.6)	0.33	
Moderately Dense Traffic 94.0% (92.7, 95.1) 92.2% (88.9, 94.5) -1.9 (-4.9, 1.1) 0.21 Light Traffic 93.4% (90.0, 95.7) 86.4% (76.0, 92.7) -7.0 (-15.2, 1.1) 0.09 Child Passengers Travelling through Not Clear Weather Conditions 93.9% (89.3, 96.6) 93.1% (82.9, 97.4) -0.7 (-8.1, 6.7) 0.84 Clear Weather Conditions 94.2% (92.1, 95.7) 91.3% (88.6, 93.4) -2.9 (-6.4, 0.6) 0.10 Child Passengers in 94.9% (91.6, 96.9) 94.1% (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-6.2, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 98.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-64, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5%<									
Light Traffic through 93.4% (90.0, 95.7) 86.4% (76.0, 92.7) -7.0 (-15.2, 1.1) 0.09 Child Passengers Travelling through Not Clear Weather Conditions 93.9% (89.3, 96.6) 93.1% (82.9, 97.4) -0.7 (-8.1, 6.7) 0.84 Clear Weather Conditions 94.2% (92.1, 95.7) 91.3% (88.6, 93.4) -2.9 (-64, 0.6) 0.10 Child Passengers in 94.9% (91.6, 96.9) 94.1% (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-62.0, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 80.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8%									
Child Passengers Travelling through 893.9% (89.3, 96.6) 93.1% (82.9, 97.4) -0.7 (-8.1, 6.7) 0.84 Clear Weather Conditions 94.2% (92.1, 95.7) 91.3% (88.6, 93.4) -2.9 (-6.4, 0.6) 0.10 Child Passengers in 94.9% (91.6, 96.9) 94.1% (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-6.2, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 98.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-64, 1.9) 0.28 South 92.1% 88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3)	•								
through 93.9% (89.3, 96.6) 93.1% (82.9, 97.4) -0.7 (-8.1, 6.7) 0.84 Clear Weather Conditions 94.2% (92.1, 95.7) 91.3% (88.6, 93.4) -2.9 (-6.4, 0.6) 0.10 Child Passengers in 94.9% (91.6, 96.9) 94.1% (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-6.2, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 98.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1	8	93.4%	(90.0, 95.7)	86.4%	(76.0, 92.7)	-7.0	(-15.2, 1.1)	0.09	
Conditions 93.9% (89.3, 96.6) 93.1% (82.9, 97.4) -0.7 (-8.1, 6.7) 0.84 Clear Weather Conditions 94.2% (92.1, 95.7) 91.3% (88.6, 93.4) -2.9 (-64, 0.6) 0.10 Child Passengers in 0 (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-62, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 0	through								
Child Passengers in Passenger Cars 94.9% (91.6, 96.9) 94.1% (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-6.2, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 89.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-71, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Child Passengers Traveling During (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95	Conditions		. , ,				(-8.1, 6.7)		
Passenger Cars 94.9% (91.6, 96.9) 94.1% (91.2, 96.1) -0.8 (-4.6, 3.0) 0.67 Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-6.2, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 89.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in 93.9% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Child Passengers Traveling 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1)		94.2%	(92.1, 95.7)	91.3%	(88.6, 93.4)	-2.9	(-6.4, 0.6)	0.10	
Vans and SUVs 94.8% (92.8, 96.2) 92.0% (89.0, 94.2) -2.8 (-6.2, 0.6) 0.11 Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the 89.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in 93.9% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51									
Pickup Trucks 87.5% (80.6, 92.2) 78.7% (72.4, 83.8) -8.8 (-17.7, 0.0) 0.05 Child Passengers in the							. , ,		
Child Passengers in the 98.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51								0.11	
Northeast 98.0% (95.6, 99.1) 95.7% (89.5, 98.3) -2.3 (-6.4, 1.9) 0.28 Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51	*	87.5%	(80.6, 92.2)	78.7%	(72.4, 83.8)	-8.8	(-17.7, 0.0)	0.05	
Midwest 93.5% (87.1, 96.8) 89.5% (82.2, 94.0) -4.0 (-13.5, 5.4) 0.39 South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During									
South 92.1% (88.9, 94.4) 89.8% (84.5, 93.4) -2.3 (-7.8, 3.2) 0.40 West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During	Northeast	98.0%	(95.6, 99.1)	95.7%	(89.5, 98.3)	-2.3	(-6.4, 1.9)	0.28	
West 96.1% (92.1, 98.1) 92.7% (87.4, 95.8) -3.4 (-7.1, 0.3) 0.07 Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During	Midwest	93.5%	(87.1, 96.8)	89.5%	(82.2, 94.0)	-4.0	(-13.5, 5.4)	0.39	
Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During	South	92.1%	(88.9, 94.4)	89.8%	(84.5, 93.4)	-2.3	(-7.8, 3.2)	0.40	
Child Passengers in Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During	West	96.1%	(92.1, 98.1)	92.7%	(87.4, 95.8)	-3.4	(-7.1, 0.3)	0.07	
Urban Areas 94.3% (91.5, 96.2) 91.2% (88.6, 93.3) -3.1 (-6.6, 0.4) 0.08 Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During	Child Passengers in								
Rural Areas 93.9% (91.5, 95.6) 91.9% (85.6, 95.6) -2.0 (-8.1, 4.1) 0.51 Child Passengers Traveling During During		94.3%	(91.5, 96.2)	91.2%	(88.6, 93.3)	-3.1	(-6.6, 0.4)	0.08	
Child Passengers Traveling During						-2.0			
			,		, ,				
		93.8%	(90.9, 95.8)	90.1%	(86.8, 92.6)	-3.7	(-8.0, 0.7)	0.09	

	20)21	2	2022	2021-2022 Change		
Child Passenger Group ¹	Percentage Who Were in Rear Seat ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Percentage Who Were in Rear Seat ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Change in Percentage Points ⁶	Confidence in a Change in Use ⁴	P- value ⁵
Rush Hours	93.9%	(90.6, 96.1)	89.4%	(85.5, 92.3)	-4.6	(-9.4, 0.2)	0.06
Non-Rush Hours	93.6%	(88.1, 96.7)	91.1%	(86.3, 94.4)	-2.5	(-9.3, 4.4)	0.47
Weekends	94.8%	(92.6, 96.3)	93.9%	(91.3, 95.8)	-0.9	(-2.9, 1.2)	0.40
Child Passengers in a							
Rear-Facing Car Seat	99.0%	(96.4, 99.7)	99.1%	(97.7, 99.7)	0.1	(-1.4, 1.7)	0.86
Forward-Facing Car Seat	100.0%	(99.9, 100.0)	99.1%	(97.5, 99.7)	-0.9	(-1.8, 0.1)	0.07
High-Backed Booster Seat	99.9%	(99.4, 100.0)	100.0%	(100.0, 100.0)	0.1	(-0.1, 0.3)	0.32
Seat Belt or Backless Booster Seat	89.2%	(85.5, 92.1)	82.0%	(76.5, 86.3)	-7.3	(-13.6, -0.9)	0.03
No Restraint Observed	74.3%	(60.8, 84.3)	84.5%	(74.9, 90.9)	10.2	(-4.4, 24.9)	0.16

¹ Passengers under 8 observed from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. in the right-front seat or the second row of seats in passenger vehicles that are stopped at a stop sign or stoplight. Age, sex, and racial classifications are based on the subjective assessments of roadside observers.

 $n_{EFF} = n/D_{EFF}$ is the effective sample size (where n is the sample size and D_{EFF} is the design effect), $t = t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$, is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom, and q = 1 - p. For percentages, these endpoints are multiplied by 100.

NA: Data were not sufficient to produce a reliable estimate.

² The percentage of the child passenger group who were in the second row of seats at the time of observation.

³ The Wilson confidence interval is used in the estimated percentages in the occupant group (e.g., occupants who are male), which is in the form: $\{(2n_{EFF}p + t^2) \pm t\sqrt{(t^2 + 4n_{EFF}pq)}\}/(2(n_{EFF} + t^2))$, where p is the estimated percentage of Belt Use,

⁴ The regular symmetric interval was used for the estimated change in percentage point, which is in the form: $p \pm$

 $t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)\sqrt{v(p)}$, where p is the estimated change in percentage point, v(p) is its estimated variance, and $t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$ is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom.

 $^{^{5}}$ A p-value of 0.05 or less indicates that there is a statistically significant difference (at the alpha=0.05 level) between the year-over-year estimates for the group in question, **indicated with bold type**.

⁶ The "Change in Percentage Points" column was computed using unrounded estimates and may not equal the difference between the rounded estimates displayed in the table.

Table 5. Child Restraint Use in Passenger Motor Vehicles, by Age and Other Characteristics

		2021		2022	20	21-2022 Change	
Child Passenger			-	95%	Change in	Confidence in	-
Group ¹	Restraint Use ²	95% Confidence	Restraint Use ²	Confidence	Percentage	a Change in	P-
	Use	Interval ³	Use	Interval ³	Points ⁶	Use ⁴	value ⁵
		Infants (From Birth	to 12 Months)			
Infants Driven by							
a Belted Driver	99.4%	(97.3, 99.9)	97.5%	(93.8, 99.0)	-1.9	(-3.8, 0.0)	0.05
an Unbelted Driver	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
a Male Driver	98.9%	(94.0, 99.8)	96.1%	(90.4, 98.4)	-2.8	(-6.4, 0.7)	0.12
a Female Driver	99.8%	(98.8, 100.0)	99.0%	(95.8, 99.8)	-0.8	(-2.5, 0.8)	0.31
Infants in							
Passenger Cars	98.0%	(91.6, 99.5)	96.4%	(86.7, 99.1)	-1.6	(-6.9, 3.7)	0.54
Vans and SUVs	100.0%	(100.0, 100.0)	98.0%	(94.8, 99.2)	-2.0	(-4.0, -0.0)	0.05
Pickup Trucks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Infants in the							
Northeast	96.6%	(81.1, 99.5)	96.8%	(82.6, 99.5)	0.3	(-2.0, 2.6)	0.82
Midwest	100.0%	(100.0, 100.0)	98.8%	(92.9, 99.8)	-1.2	(-3.7, 1.3)	0.33
South	99.6%	(98.3, 99.9)	96.1%	(89.8, 98.6)	-3.5	(-7.7, 0.6)	0.09
West	100.0%	(100.0, 100.0)	100.0%	(100.0, 100.0)	0.0	(0.0, 0.0)	1.00
Infants in							
Urban Areas	99.1%	(95.9, 99.8)	98.7%	(95.6, 99.6)	-0.4	(-1.8, 1.1)	0.59
Rural Areas	99.9%	(99.6, 100.0)	94.6%	(83.9, 98.4)	-5.3	(-11.8, 1.3)	0.11
			Children 1	to 3			
Children 1-3 Driven							
by							
a Belted Driver	96.6%	(93.4, 98.2)	97.0%	(94.3, 98.5)	0.5	(-2.5, 3.5)	0.75
an Unbelted Driver	94.4%	(85.9, 97.9)	82.2%	(66.6, 91.5)	-12.1	(-26.2, 2.0)	0.09
a Male Driver	95.0%	(89.0, 97.8)	96.8%	(93.1, 98.5)	1.7	(-4.0, 7.4)	0.54
a Female Driver	97.2%	(94.1, 98.7)	95.6%	(91.0, 97.9)	-1.6	(-5.4, 2.2)	0.40
Children 1-3 in							
Passenger Cars	94.9%	(89.2, 97.7)	94.7%	(89.0, 97.5)	-0.2	(-6.3, 5.9)	0.95
Vans and SUVs	97.4%	(93.9, 98.9)	97.2%	(93.9, 98.7)	-0.2	(-3.0, 2.5)	0.86
Pickup Trucks	93.9%	(86.6, 97.4)	90.6%	(74.9, 96.9)	-3.3	(-16.0, 9.4)	0.60
Children 1-3 in the							
Northeast	96.1%	(83.2, 99.2)	96.0%	(87.2, 98.8)	-0.2	(-9.6, 9.2)	0.97
Midwest	98.3%	(94.1, 99.5)	93.7%	(87.2, 97.0)	-4.6	(-10.6, 1.5)	0.13
South	94.8%	(87.8, 97.9)	95.4%	(88.2, 98.3)	0.6	(-5.7, 6.9)	0.85
West	97.6%	(89.6, 99.5)	99.7%	(97.6, 100.0)	2.1	(-2.0, 6.2)	0.30
Children 1-3 in		/22 - 2	2 - 22 /	(22 (22 2)			
Urban Areas	95.3%	(90.5, 97.7)	95.8%	(92.4, 97.7)	0.5	(-4.1, 5.0)	0.83
Rural Areas	98.5%	(96.4, 99.4)	97.0%	(92.5, 98.8)	-1.5	(-4.8, 1.7)	0.33
	ı	1	Children 4	to 7			I
Children 4-7 Driven by							
a Belted Driver	93.2%	(90.2, 95.3)	92.8%	(89.6, 95.0)	-0.4	(-4.3, 3.4)	0.82
an Unbelted Driver	63.6%	(46.1, 78.1)	57.4%	(37.8, 74.9)	-6.2	(-32.8, 20.4)	0.64
a Male Driver	90.8%	(87.3, 93.5)	91.0%	(83.6, 95.2)	0.2	(-6.7, 7.0)	0.96
a Female Driver	89.8%	(85.8, 92.8)	90.7%	(86.6, 93.6)	0.8	(-3.5, 5.2)	0.70
Children 4-7 in							
Passenger Cars	84.9%	(77.1, 90.3)	85.3%	(78.3, 90.4)	0.5	(-8.9, 9.8)	0.92
Vans and SUVs	93.9%	(91.0, 95.8)	93.2%	(89.6, 95.6)	-0.7	(-3.8, 2.5)	0.67
Pickup Trucks	82.6%	(73.0, 89.4)	90.2%	(75.3, 96.5)	7.5	(-4.4, 19.4)	0.21

	2021		2022		2021-2022 Change		
Child Passenger Group ¹	Restraint Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Restraint Use ²	95% Confidence Interval ³	Change in Percentage Points ⁶	Confidence in a Change in Use ⁴	<i>P</i> -value ⁵
Children 4-7 in the							
Northeast	89.7%	(77.5, 95.6)	87.0%	(77.8, 92.7)	-2.7	(-16.9, 11.6)	0.70
Midwest	90.3%	(83.5, 94.5)	90.7%	(83.5, 95.0)	0.4	(-9.5, 10.2)	0.94
South	87.9%	(82.7, 91.6)	88.1%	(75.7, 94.6)	0.2	(-7.6, 8.1)	0.95
West	96.8%	(92.8, 98.6)	97.2%	(95.4, 98.3)	0.4	(-2.3, 3.1)	0.77
Children 4-7 in							
Urban Areas	91.2%	(86.9, 94.2)	91.1%	(86.1, 94.4)	-0.1	(-5.7, 5.4)	0.96
Rural Areas	88.8%	(84.8, 91.9)	90.2%	(86.0, 93.3)	1.4	(-3.1, 5.9)	0.53

¹ Passengers under 8 observed from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. in the right-front seat or the second row of seats in passenger vehicles that are stopped at a stop sign or stoplight. Age, sex, and racial classifications are based on the subjective assessments of roadside observers.

NA: Data were not sufficient to produce a reliable estimate.

² Use of child car seats (forward- or rear-facing), booster seats, and seat belts.

³ The Wilson confidence interval is used in the estimated percentages in the occupant group (e.g., occupants who are male), which is in the form: $\{(2n_{EFF}p+t^2) \pm t\sqrt{(t^2+4n_{EFF}pq)}\}/(2(n_{EFF}+t^2))$, where p is the estimated percentage of Belt Use, $n_{EFF} = n/D_{EFF}$ is the effective sample size (where n is the sample size and D_{EFF} is the design effect), $t = t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$, is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom, and q = 1 - p. For percentages, these endpoints are multiplied by 100.

⁴ The regular symmetric interval was used for the estimated change in percentage point, which is in the form: $p \pm t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)\sqrt{v(p)}$, where p is the estimated change in percentage point, v(p) is its estimated variance, and $t_{(1-\alpha/2)}(df)$ is a multiplier from the t-distribution with df degrees of freedom.

⁵ A p-value of 0.05 or less indicates that there is a statistically significant difference (at the alpha=0.05 level) between the year-over-year estimates for the group in question, **indicated with bold type**.

⁶ The "Change in Percentage Points" column was computed using unrounded estimates and may not equal the difference between the rounded estimates displayed in the table.

NOPUS Methodology

The NOPUS sample was redesigned in 2015 and that design has since been used to conduct the survey. This section discusses the sample design, data collection, and estimation used in the 2022 NOPUS CI Study. Data collection, estimation, and variance estimation for NOPUS are conducted by Westat, Inc., under the direction of the NCSA under Federal contract number 693JJ918D000001.

Sample Design

The NOPUS uses a complex multistage probability sample, statistical data editing, imputation for unknown values, and complex estimation procedures. The sample sites for the 2022 NOPUS were entirely from the 2015 NOPUS sample redesign.

The redesigned NOPUS sample was selected using a stratified two-stage design. The first stage of selection was the individual county or set of adjacent counties, referred to as the primary sampling unit (PSU) within the design framework. The PSUs were targeted for selection based on their measure of size (MOS). A sample of 57 PSUs were selected from a frame of 1,588 PSUs.

The second stage of selection or secondary sampling unit (SSU), within the selected PSUs, is the road segment. At the road segment level, the NOPUS data collectors are then positioned so that they can efficiently observe seat belt use, motorcycle helmet use, and driver electronic device use.

The NOPUS sample frame of PSUs excluded Puerto Rico and the U.S. Territories. All other counties in the United States were included in the sampling frame with the exception of 37 counties and three areas in Alaska; these locations were excluded on the basis of low traffic volume measured in terms of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) or because they were geographically isolated. The sample frame of SSUs excluded segments along unnamed roads, cul-de-sacs, private roads, and a variety of other road types that have traditionally had very low traffic volume measured by VMT.

One PSU was sampled with certainty because of its large VMT, and the remaining PSUs were then grouped into the eight major strata based on the four Census regions (Northeast, Midwest, South, and West) and two urbanicity classes (Urban and Rural).

A sample of 57 PSUs was selected using a sequential Poisson method with probability approximately proportional to the VMT as the MOS (Ohlsson, 1998). The new NOPUS sample was selected to maximize PSU overlap with the old sample, thus maintaining comparability of the estimates from the current and previous samples. An SSU sample of road segments within each PSU is selected based upon the types of roads and urban/rural status with specified sampling rates.

Table 6 shows the observed sample sizes of the 2022 CI Study. A total of 64,233 occupants were observed in 48,081 vehicles, which are respectively 7 percent and 6 percent less than the 2021 sample. Of these observed occupants, 2,131 were children under 8. Please note that due to

ineligibility, construction, danger in the area, or road closure, observations could not be completed at some of the sampled observation sites.

Table 6. Sites, Vehicles, and Occupants in the 2022 NOPUS Controlled Intersection Study

Numbers of	2021	2022	Percentage Change
Sites Observed	1,624	1,618	-0.37%
Vehicles Observed	50,913	48,081	-5.56%
Total Occupants	68,804	64,233	-6.64%
Occupants 8 and Older	66,214	62,102	-6.21%
In Front Seat	63,454	59,639	-6.01%
In Rear Seat	2,760	2,463	-10.76%
Occupants Under 8	2,590	2,131	-17.72%
Children Under 1	295	262	-11.19%
Children 1 to 3	818	684	-16.38%
Children 4 to 7	1,477	1,185	-19.77%

Data Collection

The 2022 NOPUS data were collected from June 6 to June 24, 2022, while the 2021 NOPUS data were collected from June 7 to June 25, 2021. In a typical year, data are collected in early June, immediately following the *Click It or Ticket* campaign.

Data collection protocols remain largely the same in the redesigned NOPUS from 2015; however, NHTSA made adjustments such as including government, emergency, and commercial vehicles, and those carrying hazardous materials. Such vehicles are subject to seat belt laws in relevant jurisdictions and should be observed.

In the CI Study, trained data collectors observe restraint use of drivers and other occupants of passenger vehicles (passenger cars, pickup trucks, SUVs, and vans) that have stopped at stop signs or stoplights during daylight hours from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. Observations are made both on the surface streets and at the ends of the expressway exit ramps (where there are controlled intersections). Only stopped vehicles are observed based on the time required to collect the variety of information required by the survey, including subjective assessments of the vehicle occupant age and race. Observers collect data on the driver, right-front passenger, and up to two passengers in the second row of seats. Observers do not interview vehicle occupants, allowing NOPUS to capture the uninfluenced behavior of the occupants.

The CI Study is conducted annually following the NOPUS MT Survey and is usually scheduled for all surface streets and limited access highway ramps, where NOPUS data from previous years indicates that a controlled intersection exists. If the data collectors arrive at an assigned surface street site and the site is not controlled, they are instructed to search for an alternate data collection site further along the same road segment. After the data collectors have located a controlled intersection, they position themselves at the traffic signal or stop sign, facing the oncoming traffic from the side of the road. The data collectors walk in the direction of the oncoming traffic, away from the intersection to make their observations. When the traffic light

turns green or they finish observing all vehicles, the data collectors return to the intersection to wait for the next traffic light cycle or next vehicle. They observe vehicles in the lane closest to their observational position, even if the closest lane is an exclusive turn lane (which is often the case at the controlled intersections.) When possible and if visibility allows, the data collectors also observe the other lanes of traffic. The data collectors are instructed to record the first behavior of the driver that they observe.

Estimation

NOPUS estimates the proportion of occupants restrained in restraint type (R) among the occupants having characteristic (C) using the formula,

Restraint Use_{CR} =
$$\frac{\sum_{i,j,k} w_{ijk} F_{ijk} CR_{ijk}}{\sum_{i,j,k} w_{ijk} F_{ijk} C_{ijk}}$$

where w_{ijk} and F_{ijk} , respectively, denote the base weight and the product of various weight adjustment factors at the site k in the stratum j of the PSU i. CR_{ijk} stands for the number of observed occupants having characteristic C and restrained in restraint type R and C_{ijk} denotes the number of observed occupants having characteristic C at the site k in the stratum j of the PSU i. For example, the seat belt use by vehicle type is estimated using the above formula, where CR_{ijk} is the number of observed belted occupants in certain types of vehicles (such as passenger cars, vans & SUVs, or pickup trucks) and C_{ijk} is the number of ALL (belted and unbelted) occupants observed in that type of vehicle at the site k in the stratum j of the PSU i.

Note that estimates computed from the CI Study reflect the population of all occupants on the road at a typical daylight moment, not just those at intersections.

Prior to 2015 NHTSA's NOPUS publications reported integer percentage values for seat belt use point estimates. Along with updating the survey design, NHTSA has revised its NOPUS reporting format to be consistent with statistical best practices across the Federal Government. The new reporting format presents percentage-point estimates with one decimal place. Along with this change, 95 percent confidence intervals and *p*-values accompany the point estimates.

In certain instances, NHTSA does not provide estimates. These are typically restraint use estimates whose numerator is based on fewer than five people observed, or whose denominator is based on fewer than 30 people observed. These are reported as "NA" in publications. Any related estimate (i.e., change in use and confidence estimates) is not reported as well. The same criteria are used in reporting estimates from the National Survey of the Use of Booster Seats.

References

Boyle, L. (2023, January). *Seat belt use in 2022 – Overall results* (Traffic Safety Facts Research Note. Report No. DOT HS 813 407). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/813407

Highway Loss Data Institute. (2022, July). *Seat belts*. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. www.iihs.org/topics/seat-belts#laws

Ohlsson, E. (1998). Sequential Poisson sampling. Journal of Official Statistics, 14, 149-162.

R. L. Polk & Co. (n.d.). *National vehicle population profile*. Duke Digital Repository. https://idn.duke.edu/ark:/87924/r3280815b



